

1 MICHAEL D. RAWLINS, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 5467
 2 **DURHAM JONES & PINEGAR**
 10785 West Twain Avenue, #200
 3 Las Vegas, NV 89135
 Telephone: (702) 870-6060
 4 Facsimile: (702) 870-6090
 Email: mrawlins@djplaw.com
 5 *Attorney for Defendant*

6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8	PRO-TECT SECURITY SERVICES, LLC,)	
9	a Nevada Limited Liability Company,)	Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-01685-JAD-NJK
)	
10	Plaintiff,)	
)	
11	v.)	
)	
12	INTEGRATED SYSTEM)	
13	IMPROVEMENT SERVICES, INC. d/b/a)	
14	SPECIAL INTELLIGENCE SERVICE, an)	
	Arizona Corporation.)	
)	
15	Defendant.)	
)	

16 **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE FEDERAL RULE 26(f)**
 17 **CONFERENCE (THIRD REQUEST)**

18 Plaintiff Pro-Tect Security Services, LLC by and through its counsel of record,
 19 Gary E. Schnitzer, Esq. and Adam Wax, Esq. of the law firm Kravitz, Schnitzer &
 20 Johnson, Chtd., and Integrated Systems Improvement Services Inc. d/b/a Special
 21 Intelligence Services, by and through its counsel of record, Michael D. Rawlins, Esq. of
 22 the law firm Durham Jones & Pinegar, hereby stipulate and agree to extend the Federal
 23 Rule 26(f) conference deadline for an additional 30 days, to November 27, 2017.

24 This request is made to allow counsel to continue to investigate a possible
 25 conflict of interest. Plaintiff's principal has used defendant's counsel's firm for legal
 26 services, and plaintiff's counsel has raised the issue whether defendant's counsel has a
 27 conflict of interest. Counsel for the Parties have exchanged several correspondences in
 28

1 hopes of reaching a resolution regarding whether defendant's counsel has a conflict of
2 interest as the parties would like to resolve this issue prior to a Rule 26(f) conference.

3 Recently, plaintiff's counsel determined that the Complaint should be amended to
4 substitute Trade Show Services, Ltd. dba Pro-Tect Security Services for the original
5 plaintiff Pro-Tect Security Services, LLC. Defendants have consented to the
6 substitution, and the substitution requires the parties to re-evaluate the conflicts issues, as
7 a new party is now involved.

8 This is the third request. This request is necessary as Counsel have concluded
9 there is a need to continue the conference deadline from October 27, 2017, to November
10 27, 2017 to allow the parties to further explore and to make informed decisions about the
11 conflicts issue. The parties believe that sharing of information and consultation with
12 conflicts counsel is prudent at this time, which is currently ongoing. If the parties are
13 unable to come to an agreement, it is possible that a motion for disqualification will be
14 filed by plaintiff.

15 This extension is made for good cause and not for purposes of delay.

16 **IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED.**

17 KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER & JOHNSON DURHAM JONES & PINEGAR

18 By /s/ Adam J. Wax, Esq.
19 Gary E. Schnitzer, Esq
20 NV Bar No.395
21 Adam J. Wax, Esq.
22 NV Bar No. 12126
23 8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
24 Las Vegas, NV 89123
25 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

By /s/ Michael D. Rawlins, Esq.
Michael D. Rawlins
NV Bar No. 5467
10785 W. Twain Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89135
Attorneys for Defendant

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 **Dated: October 23, 2017**


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE