

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

DEON M. KILES,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

TEGAN MACHNICH, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:17-CV-1695 JCM (CWH)

ORDER

Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Hoffman’s report and recommendation (“R&R”). (ECF No. 4). No objections have been filed, and the deadline for filing objections has since passed.

I. Facts

On June 16, 2017, plaintiff filed its complaint along with an application to proceed in forma pauperis. (ECF No. 1). On June 23, 2017, Magistrate Judge Hoffman denied plaintiff’s request to proceed in forma pauperis and gave plaintiff thirty days to either file a renewed application to proceed in forma pauperis or to pay the filing fee. (ECF No. 3). Plaintiff did not pay the filing fee or submit a renewed application. On July 27, 2017, Magistrate Judge Hoffman issued the instant R&R. (ECF No. 4).

II. Legal Standard

This court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, then the court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

James C. Mahan
U.S. District Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made).

Nevertheless, this court conducted a de novo review to determine whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation, the court finds that good cause appears to ADOPT the magistrate judge’s findings.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Magistrate Judge Hoffman’s report and recommendation (ECF No. 4), be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the instant case be, and the same hereby is, DISMISSED without prejudice.

The clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close the case.

DATED August 29, 2017.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE