1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
5	* * *	
6	JOSHUA H. CRITTENDON,	Case No. 2:17-cv-01700-RFB-BNW
7	Plaintiff,	ODDED
8	V.	ORDER
9	JOE LOMBARDO, et al.,	
10	Defendants.	
11		
12	Presently before the court is pro se plaintiff Joshua H. Crittendon's motion for docket	
13	sheet, court order, and transcript (ECF No. 138), filed on January 24, 2019. The motion is	
14	unopposed.	
15	Also before the court is Crittendon's motion to compel (ECF No. 151), filed on April 23,	
16	2019. Defendants Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Rogers, Sanchez, Torres, Brown,	
17	Patimeteeporn, Senior, Trost, Verduzco, Binko, Reynolds, Johnson, and Williams filed a response	
18	(ECF No. 152) on May 2, 2019. Crittendon did not file a reply.	
19	I. Motion for docket sheet, court order, and transcript	
20	Crittendon requests a copy of the docket sheet and the court's minutes of proceedings for	
21	the hearing held on January 14, 2019. There was not a hearing held in this case on January 14,	
22	2019, but there was a hearing on January 24, 2019. (Mins. of Proceedings (ECF No. 136).) The	
23	court therefore understands Crittendon to be requesting the minutes of proceedings from the	
24	hearing on January 24, 2019. The court finds there is good cause to grant this request and	
25	therefore will order the clerk of court to mail these documents to Crittendon. To the extent	
26	Crittendon requests a transcript of the hearing held on January 24, 2019, the motion is denied	
27	without prejudice for Crittendon to complete the appropriate transcript designation forms. The	
28	court will order the Clerk of Court to mail Crittendon the required forms to request a transcript.	

II. Motion to compel 1 2 Crittendon moves to compel defendants' responses to interrogatories. His motion states 3 that he is enclosing the responses that are incomplete, but the court did not receive the referenced 4 enclosures. Under Local Rule 26-7, all motions to compel discovery "must set forth in full the text of the discovery originally sought and any response to it." Without the disputed 5 interrogatories and responses, the court is unable to evaluate whether there is a basis to compel 6 7 discovery under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(a)(3)(B). The court therefore will deny the 8 motion to compel without prejudice. 9 III. Conclusion 10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff Joshua H. Crittendon's motion for docket 11 sheet, court order, and transcript (ECF No. 138) is GRANTED in part and DENIED without 12 prejudice in part as stated in this order. 13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of court must mail Crittendon courtesy copies 14 of (1) the docket sheet, which includes the minutes of proceedings for the hearing held on January 15 24, 2019 (ECF No. 136), and (2) a transcript order form. 16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Crittendon's motion to compel (ECF No. 151) is 17 DENIED without prejudice. 18 19 DATED: June 11, 2019 20 - Loweke 21 BRENDA WEKSLER 22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 23 24 25 26 27 28