

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ROBERT J. JACKSON,

Petitioner,

v.

BRIAN WILLIAMS, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:17-cv-01711-MMD-VCF

ORDER


The Court directed petitioner to show cause why this action should not be dismissed because it appeared that petitioner had not yet exhausted his state-court remedies. Petitioner has not filed a response to the Court's order within the allotted time. A review of the Nevada Supreme Court's on-line docket shows that petitioner's direct appeal still is pending. *Jackson v. State*, No. 71726.¹ Consequently, the petition is unexhausted entirely.

Reasonable jurists would not find the Court's conclusion to be debatable or wrong, and the Court will not issue a certificate of appealability.

It is therefore ordered that this action is dismissed for petitioner's failure to exhaust his available state-court remedies. The Clerk of the Court will enter judgment accordingly and close this action.

It is further ordered that a certificate of appealability is denied.

DATED THIS 6th day of December 2017.


MIRANDA M. DU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹<http://caseinfo.nvsupremecourt.us/public/caseView.do?csIID=41948> (report generated December 4, 2017).