

1 JACOB D. BUNDICK, ESQ.
2 Nevada Bar No. 9772
3 MICHAEL HOGUE, ESQ.
4 Nevada Bar No. 12400
5 **GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP**
6 10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Ste. 600
7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
8 Telephone: (702) 792-3773
9 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
10 Email: bundickj@gtlaw.com
11 hoguem@gtlaw.com

12 *Counsel for Defendant*
13 *Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC*

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

15 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

16 TROY A. GARCIA,

17 Case No.: 2:17-cv-01721-RFB-VCF

18 Plaintiff,

19 v.

20 SPECIALIZED LOAN SERVICING LLC;
21 AMERICAN HONDA FINANCE CORP.;
22 TOYOTA FINANCIAL SERVICES; WELLS
23 FARGO CARD SERVICES; EQUIFAX
24 INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC,

25 Defendants.

26 **FOURTH STIPULATION TO
27 CONTINUE DEADLINE TO SUBMIT
28 JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER**

29 COME NOW, Plaintiff, Troy A. Garcia (“Plaintiff”), and Defendant, Specialized Loan
30 Servicing, LLC (“SLS” or “Defendant”), by and through their respective counsel of record in the
31 above-captioned matter, and hereby stipulate and agree, pursuant to LR 7-1, as follows:

32 1. On May 14, 2018, Defendant filed its Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No.
33 35] (“Defendant’s Motion”).

34 2. On May 14, 2018, Plaintiff filed his Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [ECF
35 No. 37] (“Plaintiff’s Motion” and, collectively with Defendant’s Motion, the “Motions”).

36 3. After the parties completed briefing on the Motions, the Court conducted oral
37 argument on September 21, 2018, and took the Motions under submission.

Greenberg Traurig, LLP
10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89135
(702) 792-3773
(702) 792-9002 (fax)

1 4. On March 21, 2019, the Court entered an Order granting in part and denying in part
2 Defendant's Motion, denying Plaintiff's Motion, and directing the parties to submit a Joint Pretrial
3 Order by April 24, 2019 [ECF No. 67].

4 5. On April 16, 2019, Defendant filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's
5 Order [ECF No. 70].

6 6. On May 4, 2019, Plaintiff filed his response to the Motion for Reconsideration.

7 7. On May 20, 2019, Defendant filed its reply in support of the Motion for
8 Reconsideration [ECF No. 81].

9 8. The Parties have engaged in settlement conversations, although a settlement has
10 not been reached. The parties continue to discuss potential resolution.

11 9. Counsel for SLS is scheduled to begin trial the second week of August, and
12 potentially will have another trial scheduled for the first or third week of November. Counsel for
13 Plaintiff has a trial presently scheduled to begin on November 12, 2019, which should last several
14 days.

15 10. The parties have met and conferred via email on the pretrial deadline. SLS believes
16 that the issues raised in the Motion for Reconsideration may substantially impact the issues to be
17 decided at trial, and to allow the Court an opportunity to consider the motion and any oral argument
18 heard, it is the best interests of the parties and judicial economy to continue the deadline to submit
19 a Joint Pretrial Order from October 14, 2019 to November 22, 2019. As noted in Plaintiff's
20 opposition to SLS's Motion for Reconsideration, Plaintiff believes that reconsideration should be
21 denied, such that adjudication on the Motion will not limit the issues remaining for trial.
22 Regardless, Plaintiff has no objection to an extension of the pretrial deadlines. Therefore, the
23 parties are in agreement that an extension is warranted.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

