1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JOHN T. KEATING Nevada Bar No.: 6373 K E A T I N G LAW GROUP 9130 W. Russell Road, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 <u>jkeating@keatinglg.com</u> (702) 228-6800 phone (702) 228-0443 facsimile Attorneys for Defendant <i>Allstate Insurance Company</i>		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	JOHN DE VRIES, an individual,	CASE NO.: 2:17-cv-01810	
11 12	Plaintiff,		
13	VS.	STIPULATION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY	
14	ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY; DOES I	PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS (Second Request)	
15	through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,		
16	-		
17	Defendants.		
18	Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4, Defendant ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY		
19			
20	(Defendant), and Plaintiff JOHN DE VRIES (Plaintiff), by and through their respective counsel of		
21	record, respectfully submit the following stipulation requesting a thirty (30) day extension of the		
22	current order deadlines. The current discovery cut-off is April 9, 2018. This stipulation is being		
23	made at least 21 days before expiration of the current discovery cut-off and is being entered		
24 25	into in good faith and not for the purpose of delay. In support of this Stipulation and Request,		
26	the parties state as follows:		
27			
28	///		

1

I. Introduction

This case is a bad faith action brought by Plaintiff for alleged damages suffered as a result of a vehicle theft loss on December 2, 2016. Plaintiff filed a complaint in State Court on June 14, 2017, and on June 30, 2017, Defendant removed this matter to Federal Court. Defendant Answered on July 11, 2017. The Second Amended Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order was entered on August 24, 2017, and the dates were extended by ninety (90) days via stipulation and order entered on October 27, 2017. This is the second request to extend the deadlines.

II. Discovery Status

- A. <u>Discovery that has been completed</u>.
 - Plaintiff served his Initial Disclosures pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1) on August 31, 2017.
 - Defendant served its Initial Disclosures pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1) on September 12, 2017.
 - 3. The Parties continue to supplement their respective Initial Disclosures.
 - Plaintiff served his First Set of Interrogatories and First Set of Requests for Production on September 11, 2017.
 - 5. Defendant served its responses to Plaintiff's discovery requests on November 14, 2017.
 - Defendant served its First Set of Interrogatories and First Set of Requests for Production of Documents on September 15, 2017.
 - 7. Plaintiff served his responses to Defendant's discovery requests on

1	I		
1		December 1, 2017.	
2	8.	Defendant served its Second Set of Interrogatories and Second Set of	
3		Requests for Production of Documents on November 6, 2017.	
4	9.	Plaintiff served his responses to Defendant's second set of discovery	
5 6		requests on December 11, 2017.	
7			
8	10.	The parties have been in discussions to schedule depositions and to plan	
9		potential expert witnesses in the case.	
10		B. <u>Discovery that remains</u> .	
11	1.	Deposition of Plaintiff.	
12	2.	Expert disclosures and depositions.	
13	3.	Rebuttal Expert disclosures and depositions.	
14			
15	4.	Depositions to be noticed by Plaintiff and additional depositions to be	
16		noticed by Defendant.	
17	Further, other appropriate discovery may also need to be conducted, including additional		
18 19	written discovery.		
20	III. Reason for Extension		
21	Although the parties have been working diligently to complete discovery, they had agreed		
22			
23	to a formal mediation that was scheduled for December 13, 2017 with retired Judge David Wall.		
24	Unfortunately, due to an unexpected conflict for Plaintiff's counsel, the mediation did not go		
25	forward, and had to be cancelled on short notice. However, since that time, the parties have		
26	entered into informal settlement talks in hopes of resolving this matter without the time and		
27	expense of formal mediation. Due to the holidays, it has been difficult for Defendant's counsel		
28			

KEATINGLAWGROUP 9130 W. RUSSELL RD., SUITE 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

У

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to line up the appropriate individuals to discuss settlement authority. The parties believe it
 prudent to extend the current discovery deadlines for thirty (30) days while they continue to
 explore settlement in order to avoid having to retain experts and incur substantial litigation
 costs. The parties expect to know very soon if the case can be resolved absent formal
 mediation.
 N. Proposed Schedule

Close of Discovery. The parties agree that discovery shall be extended thirty (30) days from the current deadline of April 9, 2018 to May 9, 2018.

 Interim Status Report. The parties shall file the interim status report required by LR 26-3 by March 9, 2018. The undersigned counsel certify that they have read LR 26-3 and this date is not later than sixty (60) days before the proposed discovery cut-off date.

3. Experts.

a. Initial Experts. The parties agree that the last day to file initial expert disclosures shall be March 9, 2018, which is sixty-one (61) days before the discovery cut-off date (60 days falls on a Saturday).

b. Rebuttal Experts. The parties agree that the last day to file rebuttal expert disclosures shall be April 8, 2018, which is thirty-one (31) days after the initial disclosure of experts (30 days falls on a Sunday).

4. **Dispositive Motions**. The parties agree that the last day to file dispositive motions shall be **June 8, 2018**, which is thirty (30) days after the discovery cut-off.

E A T I N G LAW GROUP 9130 W. RUSSELL RD., SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89148

У

1

5. Pre-Trial Order. The Joint Pre-Trial Order shall be filed by July 9, 2018, which is thirty-one (31) days after the date set for filing the dispositive motions (30 days falls on a Saturday). In the event dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the Joint Pre-Trial Order shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after a decision of the dispositive motions or further order of the court.

The parties believe that the thirty (30) day extension of the deadlines in discovery is necessary and appropriate to provide sufficient time for both sides to explore settlement and, if necessary, complete discovery in this case and that good cause is demonstrated by the current and ongoing settlement discussions, as well as the need for both parties to retain experts and

1	l		
1	to conduct depositions, and any additional discovery necessary to prove the parties' claims and		
2	defenses should settlement talks fail.		
3 4	AGREED AND ACCEPTED:		
4 5	DATED THIS <u>23rd</u> day of January, 2018.	DATED THIS 23 rd day of January, 2018.	
6 7	K E A T I N G LAW GROUP	SANTORO WHITMIRE	
8			
9	By: <u>/s/JOHN T. KEATING</u> JOHN T. KEATING, ESQ.	By: <u>/s/JASON D. SMITH</u> JASON D. SMITH, ESQ.	
10	Nevada Bar No.: 6373	Nevada Bar No.: 9691 10100 West Charleston Blvd., Ste. 250	
11	9130 W. Russell Road, Ste. 200 Las Vegas NV 89148	Las Vegas NV 89135	
12	Attorney for Defendant <i>Progressive Direct Insurance Company</i>	Attorneys for Plaintiff <i>John De Vries</i>	
13 14			
14			
16	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
17			
18		le le	
19		UNITED STATES MAGISTGRATE JUDGE	
20		DATED: January 24, 2018	
21	CASE NO.: 2:17-cv-01810		
22			
23			
24 25			
25 26			
20			
28			

K E A T I N G LAW GROUP 9130 W. RUSSELL RD., SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89148