

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Adam Carloni,

Plaintiff

V.

Shane McDougal,

Defendant

2:17-cv-01917-JAD-GWF

Order

[ECF No. 20]

10 On September 27, 2017, plaintiff Adam Carloni filed a document entitled “Enforcement
11 of Evidence to Motion for Judgement on One Subject-Matter.”¹ The document was docketed as
12 a motion for judgment, but it does not appear to seek any relief from the court. Accordingly, to
13 the extent that the “Enforcement of Evidence to Motion for Judgement on One Subject-Matter” is
14 intended as a motion **[ECF No. 20], IT IS DENIED.** Plaintiff Carloni is cautioned that any
15 request for relief must be styled as a motion that clearly describes the action that the movant
16 desires the court to take. To the extent that this document was intended instead as a supplement
17 to the plaintiff’s opposition to the motion to dismiss,² he is advised that he has already filed an
18 opposition,³ and supplemental oppositions are not permitted without leave of court. *See* Local
19 Rule 7-2(g) (“Supplementation prohibited without leave of court. A party may not file
20 supplemental pleadings, briefs, authorities, or evidence without leave of court granted for good
21 cause. The judge may strike supplemental filings made without leave of court.”).

DATED: October 10, 2017.


U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey

¹ ECF No. 20.

² ECF No. 3.

³ ECF No. 9.