Tripp v.	Clark	Coun	ty	et	а
----------	-------	------	----	----	---

Cour	ty et al Doc. 1 Case 2:17-cv-01964-JCM-BNW Document 193 Filed 12/09/20 Page 1 of 2				
1					
2					
3					
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
6	* * *				
7	JUSTIN L. TRIPP, Case No. 2:17-CV-1964 JCM (BNW)				
8	Plaintiff(s), ORDER				
9	V.				
10	CLARK COUNTY, et al.,				
11	Defendant(s).				
12					
13	Presently before the court is defendant Clark County's motion to dismiss the second				
14	amended complaint as to defendant Clark County. (ECF No. 188). Plaintiff Justin Tripp has not				
15	responded to any of the above, and the deadline of November 19, 2020, has long passed.				
16					
17	Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2, an opposing party must file points and authorities in response				
18	to a motion and failure to file a timely response constitutes the party's consent to the granting of				
19	the motion and is proper grounds for dismissal. See LR IB 7-2(d); United States v. Warren, 601				
20	1.2d 4/1, 4/4 (9th Ch. 1979). However, phot to dismissar, the district court is required to weigh				
21	to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring				
22					
23	$M_{\rm eff} = 4(E_{\rm e}^{-1}) + 52 (0.4 C_{\rm eff}^{+}) + 1005 (-1005) (-1005) = 0.1 1401 + 1402 (0.4 C_{\rm eff}^{+})$				
24	1986)).				
25	In light of plaintiff's lack of response and weighing the factors identified in <i>Ghazali</i> , the				
26	court finds dismissal of the claims against defendant appropriate. The records reflect proper notice				
27	of the motion, and plaintiff's actions demonstrate an awareness of this matter. Ample time has				
28	passed since the response's deadline of November 19, 2020.				

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

	Case 2:17-cv-01964-JCM-BNW Document 193 Filed 12/09/20 Page 2 of 2
1	Accordingly,
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant Clark
3	County's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 188) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff's claims as to defendant Clark County are
5	hereby DISMISSED.
6	DATED December 9, 2020.
7	Xerres C. Mahan
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
22 23	
23 24	
25	
25 26	
20 27	
28	
han	