

ALEXIS BROWN LAW, CHTD.
 Alexis L. Brown (No. 12338)
 725 S. 8th St., Suite 200
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 Tel: (702) 848-8806
 Fax: (702) 551-1251
 alexis@alexisbrownlaw.com
 Attorney for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

VISHAL CHAMARIA, an individual;
 VIVEK CHAMARIA, an individual; PUJA
 CHAMARIA, an individual; GAURI
 CHAMARIA, an individual; P & V, LLC, a
 California limited liability company; CHIP
 SHOP, LLC, a California limited liability
 company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TONY M. DIAB, an individual; SHOOK,
 HARDY & BACON, L.L.P., a Missouri
 limited liability partnership; MATTHEW
 GREGORY JONES, an individual; G & M
 MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., a
 California corporation, dba JONES REAL
 ESTATE; DOES I through X, individuals;
 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X,
 inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:17-CV-02023-JAD-CWH

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO
 DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION (DKT. 10)
 (First Request)**

Plaintiffs VISHAL CHAMARIA, VIVEK CHAMARIA, PUJA CHARMARIA, GAURI
 CHAMARIA, P & V, LLC, and CHIP SHOP, LLC (collectively, the "Plaintiffs"), by and through
 their attorney of record ALEXIS L. BROWN, ESQ. of the law office of ALEXIS BROWN LAW,
 CHTD., and Defendant TONY M. DIAB, Defendant in Proper Person, hereby enter into this
 stipulation to extend time pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6 and L.R. IA 6-1 as follows:

1 WHEREAS on July 31, 2017, Mr. Diab filed Defendant Tony M. Diab's Notice of Motion
2 and Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction; Memorandum of Points and Authorities
3 Thereon (the "Diab Motion to Dismiss") (Dkt. 10).

4 WHEREAS Plaintiffs' response to the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10) is currently due
5 on August 14, 2017.

6 WHEREAS on August 8, 2017, Defendants Matthew Gregory Jones and G & M
7 Management Services, Inc. (the "Jones Defendants") filed a Notice of Motion and Motion to
8 Dismiss Complaint Against Defendants Matthew Gregory Jones and G & M Management
9 Services, Inc. for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (the "Jones Defendants' Motion to Dismiss") (Dkt.
10 20).

11 WHEREAS Plaintiffs' response to the Jones Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 20) is
12 due August 22, 2017.

13 WHEREAS Plaintiffs assert that jurisdiction over Mr. Diab and the Jones Defendants is
14 intertwined and, as such, Plaintiffs wish to collectively address the jurisdictional arguments raised
15 in both the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10) and Jones Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 20).

16 WHEREAS Defendant Diab agrees to allow Plaintiffs this first requested extension until
17 August 22, 2017 to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10).

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

1 Based on the foregoing,

2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that good cause exists to allow Plaintiffs until August 22,
3 2017 to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10).

4 DATED this 13th day of August, 2017.

5 ALEXIS BROWN LAW, CHTD.

6 */s/ Alexis L. Brown*

7 By: _____
8 Alexis L. Brown (No. 12338)

9 Attorney for Plaintiffs

10 DATED this 13th day of August, 2017.

11 */s/ Tony M. Diab*

12 By: _____
13 Tony M. Diab, Defendant in Proper Person

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 
16 _____
17 U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

18 DATED: 8/16/2017
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28