1 ALEXIS BROWN LAW, CHTD. Alexis L. Brown (No. 12338) 2 725 S. 8th St., Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 3 Tel: (702) 848-8806 Fax: (702) 551-1251 4 alexis@alexisbrownlaw.com Attorney for Plaintiffs 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 VISHAL CHAMARIA, an individual; Case No.: 2:17-CV-02023-JAD-CWH 8 VIVEK CHAMARIA, an individual; PUJA CHAMARIA, an individual; GAURI 9 CHAMARIA, an individual; P & V, LLC, a California limited liability company; CHIP 10 SHOP, LLC, a California limited liability company, 11 **ORDER** Plaintiffs, 12 VS. 13 TONY M. DIAB, an individual; SHOOK, 14 HARDY & BACON, L.L.P., a Missouri limited liability partnership; MATTHEW 15 GREGORY JONES, an individual; G & M MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., a 16 California corporation, dba JONES REAL ESTATE; DOES I through X, individuals; 17 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, inclusive. 18 Defendants. 19 20 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION (DKT. 20) 21 (First Request) 22 Plaintiffs VISHAL CHAMARIA, VIVEK CHAMARIA, PUJA CHARMARIA, GAURI CHAMARIA, P & V, LLC, and CHIP SHOP, LLC (collectively, the "Plaintiffs"), by and through 23 their attorney of record ALEXIS L. BROWN, ESQ. of the law office of ALEXIS BROWN LAW, 24 CHTD., and Defendants MATTHEW GREGORY JONES and G & M MANAGEMENT 25 SERVICES, INC., by and through their attorney of record BRADLEY J. HOFLAND, ESQ. of 26 the law office HOFLAND & TOMSHECK, hereby enter into this Stipulation to Extend Time to 27 28

B

Chamaria et al v. Diab et al

Doc. 31

Respond to Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (Dkt. 20) (First Request) pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6 and L.R. IA 6-1 as follows:

WHEREAS on July 31, 2017, Mr. Diab filed Defendant Tony M. Diab's Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction; Memorandum of Points and Authorities Thereon (the "Diab Motion to Dismiss") (Dkt. 10).

WHEREAS on August 8, 2017, Defendants Matthew Gregory Jones and G & M Management Services, Inc. (the "Jones Defendants") filed a Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Complaint Against Defendants Matthew Gregory Jones and G & M Management Services, Inc. for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (the "Jones Defendants' Motion to Dismiss") (Dkt. 20).

WHEREAS Plaintiffs' response to the Jones Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 20) is currently due August 22, 2017.

WHEREAS Plaintiffs assert that jurisdiction over Mr. Diab and the Jones Defendants is intertwined and, as such, Plaintiffs wish to collectively address the jurisdictional arguments raised in both the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10) and Jones Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 20).

WHEREAS, because of the foregoing, Plaintiffs and Mr. Diab agreed to extend the deadline to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss to August 22, 2017, which was granted by the Court. *See* Order Granting [27] Stipulation to Extend Time re [10] MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction (Dkt. 28).

WHEREAS Plaintiffs and Diab agreed to the first extension of time to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss to August 22, 2017, the California Automobile Case referred to in Plaintiffs' Verified Complaint reinstated and has required Mr. Diab's and Plaintiffs' attention.

WHEREAS as part of the discussions regarding the California Automobile Case, Mr. Diab and Plaintiffs have also been engaging in settlement discussions regarding this case.

WHEREAS due to the foregoing, Mr. Diab offered to allow Plaintiffs a second requested extension until August 30, 2017 to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10). *See* Stipulation and Order to Extend Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (Dkt. 29).

| 1 | WHEREAS the Jones Defendants ha | ve similarly agreed to accommodate additional time |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | for the Plaintiffs' to respond to the Jones Defe | endants' Motion to Dismiss to August 30, 2017 (Dkt. |
| 3 | 20). | |
| 4 | Based on the foregoing, | |
| 5 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that § | good cause exists to allow Plaintiffs until August 30, |
| 6 | 2017 to respond to the Jones Defendants' Mo | otion to Dismiss (Dkt. 20). |
| 7 | DATED this 22 nd day of August, 2017. | |
| 8 | | ALEXIS BROWN LAW, CHTD. |
| 9 | | /s/ Alexis L. Brown |
| 10 | | By: Alexis L. Brown (No. 12338) |
| 11 | | Attorney for Plaintiffs |
| 12 | DATED this 22 nd day of August, 2017. | |
| 13 | | HOFLAND & TOMSHECK |
| 14 | | /s/ Bradley J. Hofland |
| 15 | | By: Bradley J. Hofland (No. 6343) |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 18 | | *** *** *** |
| 19 | | U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE |
| 20 | | DATED: |
| 21 | | DATED. |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| _ | | |