

1 ALEXIS BROWN LAW, CHTD.
 Alexis L. Brown (No. 12338)
 2 725 S. 8th St., Suite 200
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 3 Tel: (702) 848-8806
 Fax: (702) 551-1251
 4 alexis@alexisbrownlaw.com
 Attorney for Plaintiffs

5
 6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8 VISHAL CHAMARIA, an individual;
 VIVEK CHAMARIA, an individual; PUJA
 CHAMARIA, an individual; GAURI
 9 CHAMARIA, an individual; P & V, LLC, a
 California limited liability company; CHIP
 10 SHOP, LLC, a California limited liability
 company,

11
 12 Plaintiffs,

13 vs.

14 TONY M. DIAB, an individual; SHOOK,
 HARDY & BACON, L.L.P., a Missouri
 limited liability partnership; MATTHEW
 15 GREGORY JONES, an individual; G & M
 MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., a
 16 California corporation, dba JONES REAL
 ESTATE; DOES I through X, individuals;
 17 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X,
 inclusive,

18
 19 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:17-CV-02023-JAD-CWH

ORDER

20 **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO**
 21 **DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION (DKT. 10)**
 (Second Request)

22 Plaintiffs VISHAL CHAMARIA, VIVEK CHAMARIA, PUJA CHARMARIA, GAURI
 23 CHAMARIA, P & V, LLC, and CHIP SHOP, LLC (collectively, the "Plaintiffs"), by and through
 24 their attorney of record ALEXIS L. BROWN, ESQ. of the law office of ALEXIS BROWN LAW,
 25 CHTD., and Defendant TONY M. DIAB, Defendant in Proper Person, hereby enter into this
 26 Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
 27 (Dkt. 10) (Second Request) pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6 and L.R. IA 6-1 as follows:

1 WHEREAS on July 31, 2017, Mr. Diab filed Defendant Tony M. Diab’s Notice of Motion
2 and Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction; Memorandum of Points and Authorities
3 Thereon (the “Diab Motion to Dismiss”) (Dkt. 10).

4 WHEREAS pursuant to the Court’s Order Granting [27] Stipulation to Extend Time re
5 [10] MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction (Dkt. 28), Plaintiffs’ response to the Diab
6 Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10) is currently due on August 22, 2017.

7 WHEREAS shortly before Plaintiffs and Diab agreed to the first extension of time to
8 respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss to August 22, 2017, the California Automobile Case
9 referred to in Plaintiffs’ Verified Complaint reinstated and has required Mr. Diab’s and Plaintiffs’
10 attention.

11 WHEREAS as part of the discussions regarding the California Automobile Case, Mr. Diab
12 and Plaintiffs have also been engaging in settlement discussions regarding this case.

13 WHEREAS in light of the foregoing, Defendant Diab agrees to allow Plaintiffs this second
14 extension until August 30, 2017 to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10).

15 WHEREAS Mr. Diab has requested an extension of time through September 8, 2017 to
16 file his Reply in support of the Diab Motion to Dismiss due to a scheduling conflict from August
17 31, 2017 through September 4, 2017.

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



1 Based on the foregoing,

2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that good cause exists to allow Plaintiffs until August 30,
3 2017 to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10).

4 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that good cause exists to allow Mr. Diab until September
5 8, 2017 to file a reply in support of the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10).

6 DATED this 22nd day of August, 2017.

7 ALEXIS BROWN LAW, CHTD.

8 */s/ Alexis L. Brown*

9 By: _____
Alexis L. Brown (No. 12338)

10 Attorney for Plaintiffs

11 DATED this 22nd day of August, 2017.

12 */s/ Tony M. Diab*

13 By: _____
Tony M. Diab, Defendant in Proper Person

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16
17 
18 _____
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

19 DATED: 8/23/2017
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28