

1 ALEXIS BROWN LAW, CHTD.  
2 Alexis L. Brown (No. 12338)  
3 725 S. 8th St., Suite 200  
4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
5 Tel: (702) 848-8806  
6 Fax: (702) 551-1251  
7 alexis@alexisbrownlaw.com  
8 Attorney for Plaintiffs

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

VISHAL CHAMARIA, an individual;  
VIVEK CHAMARIA, an individual; PUJA  
CHAMARIA, an individual; GAURI  
CHAMARIA, an individual; P & V, LLC, a  
California limited liability company; CHIP  
SHOP, LLC, a California limited liability  
company,

Case No.: 2:17-CV-02023-JAD-CWH

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TONY M. DIAB, an individual; SHOOK,  
HARDY & BACON, L.L.P., a Missouri  
limited liability partnership; MATTHEW  
GREGORY JONES, an individual; G & M  
MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., a  
California corporation, dba JONES REAL  
ESTATE; DOES I through X, individuals;  
and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

**ORDER**

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO  
DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION (DKT. 10)  
(Second Request)**

Plaintiffs VISHAL CHAMARIA, VIVEK CHAMARIA, PUJA CHARMARIA, GAURI  
CHAMARIA, P & V, LLC, and CHIP SHOP, LLC (collectively, the “Plaintiffs”), by and through  
their attorney of record ALEXIS L. BROWN, ESQ. of the law office of ALEXIS BROWN LAW,  
CHTD., and Defendant TONY M. DIAB, Defendant in Proper Person, hereby enter into this  
Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction  
(Dkt. 10) (Second Request) pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6 and L.R. IA 6-1 as follows:



1           WHEREAS on July 31, 2017, Mr. Diab filed Defendant Tony M. Diab's Notice of Motion  
2 and Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction; Memorandum of Points and Authorities  
3 Thereon (the "Diab Motion to Dismiss") (Dkt. 10).

4           WHEREAS pursuant to the Court's Order Granting [27] Stipulation to Extend Time re  
5 [10] MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction (Dkt. 28), Plaintiffs' response to the Diab  
6 Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10) is currently due on August 22, 2017.

7           WHEREAS shortly before Plaintiffs and Diab agreed to the first extension of time to  
8 respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss to August 22, 2017, the California Automobile Case  
9 referred to in Plaintiffs' Verified Complaint reinstated and has required Mr. Diab's and Plaintiffs'  
10 attention.

11          WHEREAS as part of the discussions regarding the California Automobile Case, Mr. Diab  
12 and Plaintiffs have also been engaging in settlement discussions regarding this case.

13          WHEREAS in light of the foregoing, Defendant Diab agrees to allow Plaintiffs this second  
14 extension until August 30, 2017 to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10).

15          WHEREAS Mr. Diab has requested an extension of time through September 8, 2017 to  
16 file his Reply in support of the Diab Motion to Dismiss due to a scheduling conflict from August  
17 31, 2017 through September 4, 2017.

18          ///

19          ///

20          ///

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



Based on the foregoing,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that good cause exists to allow Plaintiffs until August 30, 2017 to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10).

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that good cause exists to allow Mr. Diab until September 8, 2017 to file a reply in support of the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10).

DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of August, 2017.

ALEXIS BROWN LAW, CHTD.

*/s/ Alexis L. Brown*

By: Alexis L. Brown (No. 12338)

Attorney for Plaintiffs

DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of August, 2017.

/s/ *Tony M. Diab*

By: Tony M. Diab, Defendant in Proper Person

IT IS SO ORDERED.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

DATED: 8/23/2017