

1 ALEXIS BROWN LAW, CHTD.  
2 Alexis L. Brown (No. 12338)  
3 725 S. 8th St., Suite 200  
4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
5 Tel: (702) 848-8806  
6 Fax: (702) 551-1251  
7 alexis@alexisbrownlaw.com  
8 Attorney for Plaintiffs

9

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
11  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 VISHAL CHAMARIA, an individual;  
13 VIVEK CHAMARIA, an individual; PUJA  
14 CHAMARIA, an individual; GAURI  
15 CHAMARIA, an individual; P & V, LLC, a  
16 California limited liability company; CHIP  
17 SHOP, LLC, a California limited liability  
18 company,

19 Case No.: 2:17-CV-02023-JAD-CWH

20 Plaintiffs,

21 *vs.*

22 TONY M. DIAB, an individual; SHOOK,  
23 HARDY & BACON, L.L.P., a Missouri  
24 limited liability partnership; MATTHEW  
25 GREGORY JONES, an individual; G & M  
MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., a  
California corporation, dba JONES REAL  
ESTATE; DOES I through X, individuals;  
and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X,  
inclusive,

26 Defendants.

27  
**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO**  
**DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION (DKT. 10)**  
**(Third Request)**

28 Plaintiffs VISHAL CHAMARIA, VIVEK CHAMARIA, PUJA CHAMARIA, GAURI  
CHAMARIA, P & V, LLC, and CHIP SHOP, LLC (collectively, the “Plaintiffs”), by and through  
their attorney of record ALEXIS L. BROWN, ESQ. of the law office of ALEXIS BROWN LAW,  
CHTD., and Defendant TONY M. DIAB, Defendant in Proper Person, hereby enter into this  
Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction  
(Dkt. 10) (Third Request) pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6 and L.R. IA 6-1 as follows:

1           WHEREAS on July 31, 2017, Mr. Diab filed Defendant Tony M. Diab's Notice of Motion  
2 and Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction; Memorandum of Points and Authorities  
3 Thereon (the "Diab Motion to Dismiss") (Dkt. 10).

4           WHEREAS pursuant to Court orders, Plaintiffs' response to the Diab Motion to Dismiss  
5 (Dkt. 10) was due August 30, 2017. Dkt. 28, 32.

6           WHEREAS the Parties previously agreed to extend the time for Plaintiffs to respond to  
7 the Diab Motion to Dismiss to allow for meaningful discussion of settlement of all claims. Dkt.  
8 28, 32.

9           WHEREAS the Parties informally agreed to extend the Plaintiffs' deadline to respond to  
10 the Diab Motion to Dismiss to September 2, 2017 and then to September 8, 2017 to allow for  
11 such ongoing settlement discussions.

12          WHEREAS settlement discussions have unfortunately stalled since September 6, 2017,  
13 requiring the parties to proceed with this case.

14          WHEREAS in light of the foregoing, the Parties stipulate and agree that Plaintiffs shall  
15 have this third extension until September 8, 2017 to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt.  
16 10).

17          WHEREAS the Parties agree to allow Mr. Diab a requested one business day extension  
18 of time through September 18, 2017 to file his reply in support of the Diab Motion to Dismiss.

19          ///

20          ///

21          ///

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Based on the foregoing,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that good cause exists to allow Plaintiffs until September 8, 2017 to respond to the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10).

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that good cause exists to allow Mr. Diab until September 18, 2017 to file a reply in support of the Diab Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10).

DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2017.

# ALEXIS BROWN LAW, CHTD.

/s/ *Alexis L. Brown*

By: Alexis L. Brown (No. 12338)

Attorney for Plaintiffs

DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2017.

/s/ *Tony M. Diab*

By: Tony M. Diab, Defendant in Proper Person

## IT IS SO ORDERED

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

9/11/2017

DATED: