1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	* * *
8	THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, f/k/a Case No. 2:17-cv-02024-KJD-GWF
9	The Bank of New York, as Trustee, Plaintiff,
10	
11	v. GREEN VALLEY SOUTH OWNERS
12	ASSOCIATION, NO. 1, a Nevada corporation, <i>et al.</i> ,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Lift Stay (#31). Though the time for
16	doing so has passed no response in opposition has been filed.
17	I. Background and Analysis
18	This case emerges from the non-judicial foreclosure sale by Defendant Green Valley
19	South Owners Association, No. 1 on or about August 10, 2012 of the property located at 2856
20	Belleza Lane, Henderson, Nevada 89074 ("the Property"). This case shares a similar fact pattern
21	with many cases currently pending before this Court, all having to do with HOA foreclosure
22	sales. One of the issues before the Court centers in whole or in part around the question of what
23	notice of default the foreclosing party was required to provide Plaintiff prior to its foreclosure
24	sale on the Property. After the Nevada Supreme Court's decision in SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC v.
25	U.S. Bank, the Ninth Circuit decided Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA, 832
26	F.3d 1154, 1160 (9th Cir. 2016) (holding NRS 115.3116(2)'s statutory notice scheme was
27	facially unconstitutional).
28	On April 21, 2017, in Bank of New York Mellon v. Star Hills Homeowners Ass'n, this

1	Court certified the following question to the Nevada Supreme Court: "Whether NRS §
2	116.31168(1)'s incorporation of NRS § 107.090 requires homeowners associations to provide
3	notices of default to banks even when a bank does not request notice?" Bank of New York
4	Mellon v. Star Hill Homeowners Ass'n, 2017 WL 1439671, at *5 (D. Nev. April 21, 2017).
5	In granting certification, the Court reasoned the following: In Bourne Valley, the Ninth
6	Circuit definitively answered the question that the statute's "opt-in" framework was
7	unconstitutional. Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA, 832 F.3d 1154, 1160 (9th
8	Cir. 2016). However, that left the Court with the unresolved question of what notice must be
9	provided. "It is solely within the province of the state courts to authoritatively construe state
10	legislation." Cal. Teachers Ass'n v. State Bd. of Educ., 271 F.3d 1141, 1146 (9th Cir. 2001). As
11	such, state law questions of first impression like this one should be resolved by the state's
12	highest court. See Huddleston v. Dwyer, 322 U.S. 232, 237 (1944).
13	On August 2, 2018, the Supreme Court of Nevada answered the certified question. See
14	SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC v. Bank of New York Mellon, 422 P.3d 1248 (Nev. 2018). Further, it has
15	since issued two new opinions that bear on the issues in this action. See Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
16	v. Tim Radecki, 2018 WL 4402403 (Nev. September 13, 2018); Bank of America, N.A. v. SFR
17	Invs. Pool 1, LLC, 2018 WL 4403296 (Nev. September 13, 2018) (tender of the superpriority
18	amount prior to foreclosure results in buyer taking property subject to deed of trust).
19	A. Stay of the Case
20	A district court has the inherent power to stay cases to control its docket and promote the
21	efficient use of judicial resources. Landis v. North Am. Co., 299 U.S., 248, 254-55 (1936);
22	Dependable Highway Exp., Inc. v. Navigators Ins. Co., 498 F.3d 1059, 1066 (9th Cir. 200). The
23	only objection the parties had to lifting the stay was the pending certified question. A stay is no
24	longer necessary in this action where the certified question has already been decided.
25	B. Briefing Schedule
26	The parties may either file a stipulation or move the Court for a modified discovery plan
27	and scheduling order as necessary. If the parties fail to do so, dispositive motions are due no later
28	than forty-five (45) days after the entry of this order. Any future dispositive motions must

- 2 -

1	address the most recent case law applicable to the issues in this action.
2	
3	II. Conclusion
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Lift Stay (#31) is
5	GRANTED;
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that stipulations, motions to modify the discovery plan and
7	scheduling order, or in the absence of such stipulations or motions, dispositive motions are due
8	within forty-five (45) days after the entry of this order.
9	Dated this 17th day of October, 2018.
10	
11	Serat
12	Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- 3 -