

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 DITECH FINANCIAL, LLC, et al.,) Case No. 2:17-cv-02029-RFB-NJK
11 Plaintiff(s),) ORDER
12 vs.) (Docket No. 40)
13 ANTELOPE HOMEOWNERS')
14 ASSOCIATION, et al.,)
15 Defendant(s).)

Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed discovery plan, Docket No. 40, which is hereby **DENIED**. First, the discovery plan fails to include the certifications required by the local rules. *See* Local Rule 26-1(b) (7), (8), and (9). Second, the discovery plan misstates the timing requirements for seeking an extension under Local Rule 26-4. Third, the discovery plan provides a deadline for initial disclosures at a date prior to the conference with Defendant Salvador, so it is unclear how he could meet that deadline. A discovery plan that complies with the local rules shall be filed by October 17, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 10, 2017


NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge