

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DITECH FINANCIAL, LLC, et al., ) Case No. 2:17-cv-02029-RFB-NJK  
Plaintiff(s), ) ORDER  
vs. ) (Docket No. 54)  
ANTELOPE HOMEOWNERS' )  
ASSOCIATION, et al., )  
Defendant(s). )

On October 18, 2017, the Court ordered Defendant Leodegario D. Salvador d/b/a GDS Financial to retain an attorney by November 8, 2017. Docket No. 49. On October 27, 2017, Defendant filed a response to the Court’s order, arguing that it is not required to obtain an attorney as an individual doing business as a corporate entity. Docket No. 54. The Court construes this filing as a motion for reconsideration on the basis of legal error or manifest injustice. *See Local Rule 59-1(a)*. As the Court’s prior order identified, Docket No. 49 at 1, several courts have similarly held that an individual doing business as a corporate entity must obtain counsel, *see Lance v. Adams*, 2011 WL 1813061, at \*3 (E.D. Cal. May 6, 2011) (refusing to allow plaintiff to proceed *pro se* individual doing business as a corporate entity); *see also Sobayo v. Caliber Home Loans, Inc.*, 2016 WL 6277233, at \*1, 4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 27, 2016) (same); *J&J Sports Prods., Inc. v. De La Cerda*, 2013 WL 1091697, at \*2 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 14, 2013) (ordering defendants sued in both their individual capacities and as individuals doing business as a corporate entity to obtain licensed counsel). Defendant’s motion to reconsider cites no legal authority making a contrary holding. The Court is not persuaded that it committed legal error in requiring Defendant to retain licensed counsel and is not persuaded that there is manifest injustice.

1 Accordingly, the motion to reconsider is hereby **DENIED**.  
2  
3 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
4  
5 DATED: October 30, 2017

  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28