

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 8FORCE ASSETS, LLC, *et al.*,)
)
 Defendants.)

Case No. 2:17-cv-02036-APG-GWF
ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Extension of Time and Serve Defendant Lasandra Weathers by Publication (ECF No. 44), filed on December 7, 2017.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e), the state statutes in which the District Court is held are followed in matters pertaining to service of summons by publication. N.R.C.P. 4(e)(1)(i) states that the court may permit service by publication if, after due diligence shown, the plaintiff is unable to find the defendant(s) within the state, or they are avoiding the service of summons. The plaintiff must prove this to the satisfaction of the court either by affidavit or by a verified complaint. The Nevada Supreme Court has held that there is no objective, formulaic standard for determining what is, or is not, due diligence. *Abreu v. Gilmer*, 985 P.2d 746, 749 (1999). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) and LR 26-4, extensions of time may be granted for good cause shown.

Plaintiff requests permission to serve Defendant Lasandra Weathers by publication and for an additional 60 days to effectuate service. Plaintiff represents that it demonstrated due diligence by making several attempts to serve Defendant. The Court, therefore, finds that Plaintiff has provided sufficient good cause to warrant service by publication and will grant Plaintiff until **February 5, 2018** to effectuate service. Accordingly,

