

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 ***

6 THOMAS HARSH,

Case No. 2:17-cv-02069-MMD-NJK

7 Petitioner,

ORDER

8 v.

9 JO GENTRY, *et al.*,

10 Respondents.

11 This habeas comes before the Court on Respondents' fourth unopposed Motion
12 for Extension of Time (ECF No. 58). Although the motion falls short of providing
13 compelling circumstances or a strong showing of good cause,¹ the Court will reluctantly
14 allow the additional two days. It is therefore ordered that Respondents' motion is granted.
15 Respondents have until November 27, 2019, to file an answer to the surviving claims of
16 the Second Amended Petition (ECF No. 22).

17 DATED THIS 25th day of November 2019.

18 

19 _____
MIRANDA M. DU
20 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

21
22
23
24 _____
25 ¹In the order granting Respondents' third request for an extension of time, counsel
was advised:

26 Given the age of this case, the Court directs counsel for both parties to
27 prioritize the briefing in this case over later-filed matters. *Further extensions
28 of time are not likely to be granted absent compelling circumstances and a
strong showing of good cause why the briefing could not be completed
within the extended time allowed despite the exercise of due diligence.*

(ECF No. 56.)