UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BRUCE WOLF,

Plaintiff(s),

Order

v. (Docket No. 102)

CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' second renewed motion for leave to file exhibits under seal. Docket No. 102. Plaintiffs submit that Docket Nos. 88-1 and 88-3 should be sealed to maintain the confidentiality of information regarding Plaintiffs and third parties pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute 432B.280 and Ninth Circuit case law. Id. at 4-5. Plaintiffs further submit that redaction of Docket No. 88-1 "would render [it] all but unreadable...[and] would prove confusing." Id. at 5. Lastly, Plaintiffs submit that "[r]edaction of Docket No. 88-3 would be slightly less confusing," and that they could redact minor Plaintiffs' names and "replace them with Plaintiffs' initials." Id. at 6.

Parties "who seek to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must meet the high threshold of showing that 'compelling reasons' support secrecy." Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006). Those compelling reasons must outweigh the competing interests of the public in having access to the judicial records and

understanding the judicial process. Id. at 1178-79. In this case, the Court finds that compelling reasons exist to support filing Docket No. 88-1 under seal.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs' second renewed motion for leave to file exhibits under seal is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part. Docket No. 102. Docket No. 88-1 shall remain under seal. The Court **ORDERS** Plaintiffs to file a redacted version of Docket No. 88-3 on the public docket, no later than August 9, 2018. The filing at Docket No. 88-3 shall remain under seal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 7, 2018

NANCY J. KOPPE

United States Magistrate Judge