13 GREYHOUND LINES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; MOTOR COACH RESPOND TO DEFENDANT GREYHOUND LINES, INC. AND BRANDON WILLIAMS; MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (THIRD BRANDON WILLIAMS; DOES I-X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive, 16 Defendants. 17 Plaintiff MELVA N. MILLER ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, The OPEN STRUCK of the "Greyhound Defendants"), by and through her attorneys, Lewis Br 18 Plaintiff MELVA N. MILLER ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, Lewis Br 19 Firm, Defendants GREYHOUND LINES, INC. ("Greyhound") and BRANDON WILLIAMS and through their attorneys, Lewis Br 20 Esspond to Defendant Greyhound Defendants"), by and through their attorneys, Lewis Br 21 Bisgaard & Smith LLP, hereby agree and stipulate to extend the time allowed for Plaint 23 Judgment (ECF No. 109) for three weeks, or until January 31, 2020. 24 The parties have been discussing issues relevant to narrowing issues for trial which are ger 26 The parties have been discussing issues relevant to narrowing issues for trial which are ger 26 The substance of the motion for summary judgment. Additionally, the parties contin	- 11	Case 2:17-cv-02103-JCM-DJA Document 12	22 Filed 01/10/20 Page 1 of 2	
15 BRANDON WILLIAMS; DOES I-X; and REQUEST) 16 Defendants, inclusive, Defendants. 17 Defendants. 18 Plaintiff MELVA N. MILLER ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, The Operation of the substance of the motion for summary judgment. Additionally, the parties contine 19 Firm, Defendants GREYHOUND LINES, INC. ("Greyhound") and BRANDON WILLD (collectively the "Greyhound Defendants"), by and through their attorneys, Lewis Br 21 Bisgaard & Smith LLP, hereby agree and stipulate to extend the time allowed for Plaint respond to Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc., and Brandon Williams' Motion for Sum Judgment (ECF No. 109) for three weeks, or until January 31, 2020. 24 The parties have been discussing issues relevant to narrowing issues for trial which are ger to the substance of the motion for summary judgment. Additionally, the parties contine	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	ROBERT W. COTTLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4576 MATTHEW G. HOLLAND, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10370 THE COTTLE FIRM 8635 South Eastern Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 rcottle@cottlefirm.com mholland@cottlefirm.com Telephone: (702)722-6111 Facsimile: (702) 834-8555 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> UNITED STATES I DISTRICT (**** MELVA N. MILLER, an individual; Plaintiff, vs. GREYHOUND LINES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; MOTOR COACH	DISTRICT COURT DF NEVADA * * * * CASE NO. 2:17-cv-02103-JCM-CWH STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT GREYHOUND LINES, INC. AND BRANDON WILLIAMS' MOTION FOR	
 Plaintiff MELVA N. MILLER ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, The or Firm, Defendants GREYHOUND LINES, INC. ("Greyhound") and BRANDON WILLI (collectively the "Greyhound Defendants"), by and through their attorneys, Lewis Br Bisgaard & Smith LLP, hereby agree and stipulate to extend the time allowed for Plaint respond to Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc., and Brandon Williams' Motion for Sum Judgment (ECF No. 109) for three weeks, or until January 31, 2020. This is the third request to extend the time for Plaintiff to file this responsive pleat The parties have been discussing issues relevant to narrowing issues for trial which are generated to the substance of the motion for summary judgment. Additionally, the parties contined 	.6	INDUSTRIES, INC. a Delaware Corporation; BRANDON WILLIAMS; DOES I-X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT (THIRD	
 Plaintiff MELVA N. MILLER ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, The of Firm, Defendants GREYHOUND LINES, INC. ("Greyhound") and BRANDON WILLD (collectively the "Greyhound Defendants"), by and through their attorneys, Lewis Br Bisgaard & Smith LLP, hereby agree and stipulate to extend the time allowed for Plaint respond to Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc., and Brandon Williams' Motion for Sum Judgment (ECF No. 109) for three weeks, or until January 31, 2020. This is the third request to extend the time for Plaintiff to file this responsive pleat The parties have been discussing issues relevant to narrowing issues for trial which are generated to the substance of the motion for summary judgment. Additionally, the parties contined 				+
28 undertake efforts to continue settlement discussions following last month's mandatory settle	9 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Firm, Defendants GREYHOUND LINES, INC (collectively the "Greyhound Defendants"), by Bisgaard & Smith LLP, hereby agree and stipu respond to Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc., Judgment (ECF No. 109) for three weeks, or unti This is the third request to extend the ti The parties have been discussing issues relevant to the substance of the motion for summary	2. ("Greyhound") and BRANDON WILLIAMS y and through their attorneys, Lewis Brisbois alate to extend the time allowed for Plaintiff to and Brandon Williams' Motion for Summary 1 January 31, 2020. me for Plaintiff to file this responsive pleading to narrowing issues for trial which are germane judgment. Additionally, the parties continue to	5 5 7 7

Page 1 of 2

	Case 2:17-cv-02103-JCM-DJA Document 122 Filed 01/10/20 Page 2 of 2
1	conference.
2	This Stipulation is made for good cause and not for the purposes of delay. Nothing
3	contained in this Stipulation shall be deemed a waiver of any right belonging to any party hereto.
4	DATED this 10 th day of January, 2020. DATED this 10 th day of January, 2020.
5	
6	THE COTTLE FIRM LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP
7	
8	/s/ Matthew G. Holland /s/ James E. Murphy
9	ROBERT W. COTTLE, ESQ.JOSH COLE AICKLEN, ESQ.Nevada Bar No. 4576Nevada Bar No. 7254
	MATTHEW G. HOLLAND, ESQ. JAMES E. MURPHY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10370 Nevada Bar No. 8586
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendants Greyhound Lines, Inc., and Brandon Williams
12	Inc., and Brandon Williams
13	
14	<u>ORDER</u>
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	DATED January 13, 2020.
17	
18	Xerres C. Mahan
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	