2

3

4

5

6

7

8

27

28

1 9 10 11 12 13 ATTORNEYS AT LAW
7435 W. Azure Drive, Suite 110
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89130
Tel. (702) 258-1183 + Fax (702) 258-6983 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

JAMES P. KEMP, ESQUIRE Nevada Bar No. 006375 KEMP & KEMP, ATTORNEYS AT LAW 7435 W. Azure Drive, Suite 110, Las Vegas, NV 89130 (702) 258-1183 tel./(702) 258-6983 fax jp@kemp-attorneys.com Attorney for Plaintiff Thomas Mooney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

THOMAS MOONEY,

Plaintiff,

FIFE DERMATOLOGY, PC, d/b/a SURGICAL DERMATOLOGY & LASER CENTER; DOUGLAS FIFE, M.D.; and HEATHER FIFE,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:17-cv-02191-JCM-DJA

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND FOR **DEFENDANT'S REPLY**

(Third Request)

The parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate to extend the time for Plaintiff to respond to Defendants' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (ECF No. 71) from the current due date of Monday, April 11, 2022 through and including Monday, April 25, 2022. The Parties further stipulate that Defendants shall have up to and including Tuesday, May 31, 2022 to file their reply in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment.

This is the THIRD request for an extension of this deadline. The parties provide the following information to the Court regarding the proposed extension of time:

> 1. The Parties previously stipulated to an extensions of the summary judgment briefing schedule based on the fact that Plaintiff's counsel was on vacation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

from March 4, 2022 to March 18, 2022 for a long-planned two week cruise vacation where he and his wife are celebrating their 25th wedding Plaintiff's counsel did not have any time to work on the response to Defendants' comprehensive motion seeking summary judgment on all claims until March 21, 2022. Plaintiff's counsel has been working on the response, but has not had time to complete it because of intervening factors that was somewhat unexpected.

- 2. While Plaintiff's counsel was on vacation, on March 11, 2022 Plaintiff's counsel received an order in Case 8:18-cv-01727-MAA Damian Raffele v. VCA, Inc in the Central District of California that after approximately seven months of waiting due to COVID-19 delays a jury and a courtroom has been confirmed for a jury trial commencing at 8:30 a.m. on March 30, 2022. Accordingly, Plaintiff's counsel had to divert efforts from working on the extensive summary judgment opposition due in this case to trial preparations in the Raffele matter.
- 3. While Plaintiff's counsel was in trial in California in the Raffele matter his mother passed away at the age of 89. Consequently Plaintiff's counsel was called away on Monday evening and his co-counsel had to finish the trial without him. Since that time Plaintiff's counsel has been in mourning and has been attending to personal business related to his mother's death. Plaintiff's counsel is the personal representative of his mother's estate.
- 4. The Parties agree that Plaintiff may have until Monday, April 25, 2022 to file his Response to the Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment;

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2	three weeks from Monday, May 9, 2022 to Tuesday, May 31, 2022. See
3	LR 7-2 (setting deadline for Reply in Support of Motion for Summary
4	
5	Judgment at 14 days (also, Monday, May 30, 2022 is a holiday)). This
6	additional time is needed by Defendants to account for pre-planned travel
7	(including international travel) and time-intensive obligations in other
8	matters, including, but not limited to, preparing for and assisting with an
9	evidentiary hearing currently set for four days during the week of May 9,
10	2022 in Case No. 2:20-cv-00406-RFB-BNW.
11	6. There are no other deadlines or proceedings with which this extension
12	
13	would interfere.
14	This stipulation to extend the Response and Reply deadlines on Defendants' Motion for
15	Summary Judgment [EFC No. 71] (to Monday, April 25, 2022 and Tuesday, May 31, 2022,

5. The Parties have further agreed to extend the time for Defendants' Reply by

DATED this 8th day of April, 2022. DATED this 8th day of April, 2022.

respectively) is made in good faith and not for the purposes of delay.

/s/ Hayley Cummings P. SWEN PRIOR, ESQ. HAYLEY CUMMINGS, ESQ, **SNELL & WILMER** 3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy., #1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorney for Defendants

/s/ James P. Kemp JAMES P. KEMP, ESQ. Bar No. 6375 KEMP & KEMP, Attorneys at Law

7435 W. Azure Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, NV 89130 Attorney for Plaintiff

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED:

elle C. Mahan UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

April 11, 2022 DATED: