1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	Vyda Thamas Dansam	2:17-cv-02257-JAD-GWF
4	Kyle Thomas Ransom,	
5	Plaintiff	Order Remanding Case to State Court
6	V.	[ECF No. 11]
7	Hartford Insurance Co. of the Midwest,	
8	Defendant	
9		
10	On September 19, 2017, plaintiff Kyle Thomas Ransom moved to remand this insurance-	
11	coverage dispute back to state court because the case value does not meet the \$75,000	
12	jurisdictional threshold. <sup>1</sup> Hartford Insurance's deadline to oppose the motion to remand was	
13	October 3, 2017; it filed no opposition and has not sought to extend the deadline to do so. Local	
14	Rule 7-2(d) states that "The failure of a moving party to file points and authorities in response to	
15	any motion constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion." And the defendant always	
16	has the burden of establishing that removal is proper. <sup>2</sup> I construe Hartford's failure to oppose the	
17	motion to remand as its acknowledgment that jurisdiction is lacking, and I GRANT the Motion to	
18	Remand.	
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Remand [ECF No. 11] is	
20	<b>GRANTED.</b> This case is remanded back to the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County,	
21	Nevada, Case No. A-17-758064-C, Dept. 26. The Clerk of Court is directed to VACATE as	
22	moot the 10/30/17 hearing in this case.	
23	DATED: October 10, 2017.	
24	U.S. Diatrical Island American	
25		U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
26		
27	<sup>1</sup> ECF No. 11.	
28	<sup>2</sup> Gaus v. Miles, 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992).	