

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

GEORGE GERAIN EDWARDS,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

DAVID HASSLEHOFF,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:17-CV-2267 JCM (NJK)

ORDER

Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Koppe’s report and recommendation (R&R), recommending that pro se plaintiff George Edwards’s complaint (ECF Nos. 1-1) be dismissed with prejudice, and application to proceed in forma pauperis denied. (ECF No. 3). No objections have been filed, and the deadline for filing objections has since passed by over two months.

This court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, then the court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made).

James C. Mahan
U.S. District Judge

