1

2

5

6

7

v.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3	Sarah Crebassa, Plaintiff
4	Plaintiff

Mark Manendo,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02271-JAD-NJK

Order Denying Motion to Consolidate Cases and Directing Amendment

[ECF No. 6]

8In late August 2017, Sarah Crebassa commenced eight lawsuits in this court against a9wide variety of individuals and organizations. 1 In each, she sought leave to proceed *in forma*10*pauperis*, and in each, she filed an identical "Motion to Combine Complaints [and] Motion to11Amend the Complaints." I denied the request to amend without prejudice while Crebassa's12motion to consolidate was under consideration by U.S. District Judge Mahan and U.S.13Magistrate Judge George Foley, Jr., who were assigned Crebassa's first-filed action.<sup>2</sup> Magistrate14Judge Foley denied the motion in that first-filed case as premature because that case had not yet15been screened under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.<sup>3</sup>16The complaint against defendant Mark Manendo has been screened. Magistrate Judge17Nancy Koppe concluded that Crebassa's "complaint consisting of a conclusory cover page18indicating that Defendant stalked her while in jail and 'taunts her with is resume" fails to state a

19

23<sup>2</sup> *Crebassa v. LVMPD*, 2:17-cv-2270-JCM-GWF.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* at ECF No. 7.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Crebassa v. LVMPD, 2:17-cv-02270-JCM-GWF; Crebassa v. Manendo, 2:17-cv-02271-JAD-NJK; Crebassa v. Ariotta, 2:17-cv-02272-APG-NJK; Crebassa v. Rape Crisis Center, 2:17-cv-

<sup>02273-</sup>JAD-CWH; Crebassa v. ACLU, 2:17-cv-02274-RFB-PAL; Crebassa v. Sandoval, 2:17cv-02276-APG-NJK; Crebassa v. Lockhead, 2:17-cv-02277-JAD-NJK; Crebassa v. Citizens Review Board, 2:17-cv-02278-JCM-PAL.

claim for relief.<sup>4</sup> Judge Koppe explained that plaintiffs like Crebassa must identify the cause of
action they are intending to assert and the legal theory and facts that it is based on, and they must
also explain why this court has jurisdiction over that claim. Judge Koppe dismissed Crebassa's
complaint and gave her until September 29, 2017, to file an amended complaint that contains the
missing information.<sup>5</sup>

6 Crebassa did not file an amended complaint. She instead filed her "Motion to Combine
7 Complaints [and] Motion to Amend the Complaints" on the eve of the deadline to amend.<sup>6</sup> I
8 now take this opportunity to deny that motion in this case because it is largely nonsensical and
9 fails to establish that relief is warranted. Except for the title, the document offers no hint as to
10 why consolidation is appropriate. And considering that Magistrate Judge Koppe already granted
11 Crebassa leave to file an amended complaint, Crebassa's request to amend is unnecessary.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Crebassa's Motion to Combine
Complaints [ECF No. 6] is DENIED.

Because it appears that Crebassa was somehow confused by Magistrate Judge Koppe's
order giving her leave to amend, I will extend Crebassa's deadline to file an amended complaint
to July 13, 2018. Crebassa has until 4:00 p.m. on July 13, 2018, to file an amended
complaint that cures the deficiencies that Magistrate Judge Koppe identified in her August
31, 2017, order. If Crebassa does not file an amended complaint by that deadline, or if her

20

19

21

 $\frac{22}{4}$  ECF No. 3 at 2.

 $23^{5}$  *Id*.

<sup>6</sup> ECF No. 6.

1	amended complaint still fails to state a claim for relief, this case will be dismissed with
2	prejudice without prior notice.
3	Dated: June 13, 2018
4	U.S. District Judge Komiler A. Demosy
5	U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
	3