Crebassa v. Lockhead Doc. 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 Sarah Crebassa. Case No.: 2:17-cv-02277-JAD-NJK 4 **Plaintiff Order Denying Motion to Consolidate Cases and Directing** 5 Amendment Colton Lockhead, 6 [ECF No. 6] 7 Defendant 8 In late August 2017, Sarah Crebassa commenced eight lawsuits in this court against a wide variety of individuals and organizations. ¹ In each, she sought leave to proceed in forma 10 pauperis, and in each, she filed an identical "Motion to Combine Complaints [and] Motion to 11 Amend the Complaints." I denied the request to amend without prejudice while Crebassa's 12 motion to consolidate was under consideration by U.S. District Judge Mahan and U.S. 13 Magistrate Judge George Foley, Jr., who were assigned Crebassa's first-filed action. Magistrate 14 Judge Foley denied the motion in that first-filed case as premature because that case had not yet 15 been screened under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.³ 16 The complaint against defendant Colton Lockhead has been screened. Magistrate Judge 17 Nancy Koppe concluded that Crebassa's "one-page complaint consisting of boilerplate assertions 18 that she provided Defendant certain information, and that he then 'lied' about it' fails to state a 19 ¹ Crebassa v. LVMPD, 2:17-cv-02270-JCM-GWF; Crebassa v. Manendo, 2:17-cv-02271-JAD-NJK; Crebassa v. Ariotta, 2:17-cv-02272-APG-NJK; Crebassa v. Rape Crisis Center, 2:17-cv-

20

⁰²²⁷³⁻JAD-CWH; Crebassa v. ACLU, 2:17-cv-02274-RFB-PAL; Crebassa v. Sandoval, 2:17cv-02276-APG-NJK; Crebassa v. Lockhead, 2:17-cv-02277-JAD-NJK; Crebassa v. Citizens Review Board, 2:17-cv-02278-JCM-PAL.

² Crebassa v. LVMPD, 2:17-cv-2270-JCM-GWF.

³ *Id.* at ECF No. 7.

5 6

12

11

14

19

20

21

22

⁴ ECF No. 3 at 2.

⁵ *Id*.

⁶ ECF No. 6.

claim for relief.⁴ Judge Koppe explained that plaintiffs must identify the cause of action they are intending to assert and the legal theory and facts that it is based on, and they must also explain why this court has jurisdiction over that claim. Judge Koppe dismissed Crebassa's complaint and gave her until September 29, 2017, to file an amended complaint that contains the missing information.⁵

Crebassa did not file an amended complaint. She instead filed her "Motion to Combine Complaints [and] Motion to Amend the Complaints" on the eve of the deadline to amend. 6 I now take this opportunity to deny that motion in this case because it is largely nonsensical and fails to establish that relief is warranted. Except for the title, the document offers no hint as to why consolidation is appropriate. And considering that Magistrate Judge Koppe already granted Crebassa leave to file an amended complaint, Crebassa's request to amend is unnecessary.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Crebassa's Motion to Combine Complaints [ECF No. 6] is DENIED.

Because it appears that Crebassa was somehow confused by Magistrate Judge Koppe's order giving her leave to amend, I will extend Crebassa's deadline to file an amended complaint 16 to July 13, 2018. Crebassa has until 4:00 p.m. on July 13, 2018, to file an amended complaint that cures the deficiencies that Magistrate Judge Koppe identified in her August 18||31, 2017, order. If Crebassa does not file an amended complaint by that deadline, or if her

amended complaint still fails to state a claim for relief, this case will be dismissed with 2 prejudice without prior notice. Dated: June 13, 2018 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey