1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	* * *
8	MORTGAGE FUND IVC TRUST 2016-RN5, Case No. 2:17-cv-02309-KJD-PAL
9	Plaintiff, ORDER
10	v.
11	DEWEY D. BROWN, et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	
15	Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Lift Stay (#34). Though the time for
16	doing so has passed, no response in opposition has been filed.
17	I. Background and Analysis
18	This case emerges from the non-judicial foreclosure sale by Sun City Anthem
19	Community Association on or about June 18, 2014 of the property located at 2175 Clearwater
20	Lake Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada ("the Property"). This case shares a similar fact pattern with
21	many cases currently pending before this Court, all having to do with HOA foreclosure sales.
22	One of the issues before the Court centers in whole or in part around the question of what notice
23	of default the foreclosing party was required to provide Plaintiff prior to its foreclosure sale on
24	the Property. After the Nevada Supreme Court's decision in SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC v. U.S.
25	Bank, the Ninth Circuit decided Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA, 832 F.3d
26	1154, 1160 (9th Cir. 2016) (holding NRS 115.3116(2)'s statutory notice scheme was facially
27	unconstitutional).
28	

On April 21, 2017, in Bank of New York Mellon v. Star Hills Homeowners Ass'n, this 1 2 Court certified the following question to the Nevada Supreme Court: "Whether NRS § 3 116.31168(1)'s incorporation of NRS § 107.090 requires homeowners associations to provide notices of default to banks even when a bank does not request notice?" Bank of New York 4 5 Mellon v. Star Hill Homeowners Ass'n, 2017 WL 1439671, at \*5 (D. Nev. April 21, 2017). In granting certification, the Court reasoned the following: In Bourne Valley, the Ninth 6 7 Circuit definitively answered the question that the statute's "opt-in" framework was 8 unconstitutional. Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA, 832 F.3d 1154, 1160 (9th 9 Cir. 2016). However, that left the Court with the unresolved question of what notice must be 10 provided. "It is solely within the province of the state courts to authoritatively construe state 11 legislation." Cal. Teachers Ass'n v. State Bd. of Educ., 271 F.3d 1141, 1146 (9th Cir. 2001). As 12 such, state law questions of first impression like this one should be resolved by the state's 13 highest court. See Huddleston v. Dwyer, 322 U.S. 232, 237 (1944). 14 On August 2, 2018, the Supreme Court of Nevada answered the certified question. See SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC v. Bank of New York Mellon, 422 P.3d 1248 (Nev. 2018). Further, it has 15 16 since issued two new opinions that bear on the issues in this action. See Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. 17 v. Tim Radecki, 2018 WL 4402403 (Nev. September 13, 2018); Bank of America, N.A. v. SFR 18 Invs. Pool 1, LLC, 2018 WL 4403296 (Nev. September 13, 2018) (tender of the superpriority 19 amount prior to foreclosure results in buyer taking property subject to deed of trust). 20 Also, since the action was stayed, opinions in several cases have directly addressed the 21 Federal Foreclosure Bar issues in this action. See Berezovsky v. Moniz, 869 F.3d 923 (9th Cir. 2017); Elmer v. JPMorgan Chase & Co., 707 Fed. Appx. 426 (9th Cir. 2017); Nationstar Mortg., 22 23 LLC v. SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC, 396 P.3d 754 (Nev. 2017). 24 A. Stay of the Case 25 A district court has the inherent power to stay cases to control its docket and promote the 26 efficient use of judicial resources. Landis v. North Am. Co., 299 U.S., 248, 254-55 (1936); 27 Dependable Highway Exp., Inc. v. Navigators Ins. Co., 498 F.3d 1059, 1066 (9th Cir. 200). A 28 stay is no longer necessary in this action where the certified question has already been decided.

1	<b>B. Briefing Schedule</b>
2	The parties may either file a stipulation or move the Court for a modified discovery plan
3	and scheduling order as necessary. If the parties fail to do so, dispositive motions are due no later
4	than forty-five (45) days after the entry of this order. Any future dispositive motions must
5	address the most recent case law applicable to the issues in this action.
6	II. Conclusion
7	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the STAY in this action is LIFTED;
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that stipulations, motions to modify the discovery plan and
9	scheduling order, or in the absence of such stipulations or motions, dispositive motions are due
10	within forty-five (45) days after the entry of this order.
11	Dated this 19th day of December, 2018.
12	
13	Bera
14	Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28