

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

IRENE MICHELLE SCHWARTZ-TALLARD, )  
 )  
Plaintiff(s), )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
HSBC BANK USA, et al., )  
 )  
Defendant(s). )

Case No. 2:17-cv-02328-RFB-NJK  
ORDER  
(Docket No. 4)

Pending before the Court is a motion to extend the deadline for Defendants to respond to the complaint. Docket No. 4.<sup>1</sup> Defendants represent that Plaintiff’s counsel has agreed to the relief sought. *See id.* at 2. For good cause shown, the motion is **GRANTED** and the deadline is extended to September 26, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED.  
DATED: September 13, 2017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge

\_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>1</sup> The motion is incorrectly labeled as seeking “ex parte” relief. An ex parte motion is a one that “is filed with the court but is not served on the opposing or other parties.” Local Rule IA 7-2(a). As evidenced by the proof of service, this motion was served on Plaintiff. *See* Docket No. 4 at 4.