]]							
1 2	CASEY J. QUINN NEVADA BAR NO. 11248 SELMAN BREITMAN LLP						
3	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89169-0961						
4	Telephone: 702.228.7717 Facsimile: 702.228.8824						
5	Email: cquinn@selmanlaw.com						
6	LINDA WENDELL HSU (Pro Hac Vice) CALIFORNIA BAR NO. 162971						
7	SAMUEL E. LIPSITZ (Pro Hac Vice) CALIFORNIA BAR NO. 331407						
8	SELMAN BREITMAN LLP 33 New Montgomery, Sixth Floor						
9	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.979.0400						
10	Facsimile: 415.979.2099 Email: lhsu@selmanlaw.com						
11	Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Claimant NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY						
12	With the stranger with the str						
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
14	DISTRICT O	F NEVADA					
15							
16	ROBERT "SONNY" WOOD, an individual; ACCESS MEDICAL, LLC, a Delaware limited	Case No. 2:17-CV-02393-MMD-DJA					
17	liability company.	Į.					
17	liability company, Plaintiffs	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF EXPERT WITNESS					
18	Plaintiffs,						
18 19	Plaintiffs, v.	FOR EXTENSION OF EXPERT WITNESS					
18 19 20	Plaintiffs,	FOR EXTENSION OF EXPERT WITNESS					
18 19 20 21	Plaintiffs, v. NAUTILUS INSURANCE GROUP, a Delaware	FOR EXTENSION OF EXPERT WITNESS					
18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiffs, v. NAUTILUS INSURANCE GROUP, a Delaware limited liability company, et al.,	FOR EXTENSION OF EXPERT WITNESS					
18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiffs, v. NAUTILUS INSURANCE GROUP, a Delaware limited liability company, et al., Defendant. NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY, Cross-Claimant,	FOR EXTENSION OF EXPERT WITNESS					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiffs, v. NAUTILUS INSURANCE GROUP, a Delaware limited liability company, et al., Defendant. NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY, Cross-Claimant, v.	FOR EXTENSION OF EXPERT WITNESS					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiffs, v. NAUTILUS INSURANCE GROUP, a Delaware limited liability company, et al., Defendant. NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY, Cross-Claimant, v. ROBERT "SONNY" WOOD; ACCESS MEDICAL, LLC; FLOURNOY	FOR EXTENSION OF EXPERT WITNESS					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiffs, v. NAUTILUS INSURANCE GROUP, a Delaware limited liability company, et al., Defendant. NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY, Cross-Claimant, v. ROBERT "SONNY" WOOD; ACCESS	FOR EXTENSION OF EXPERT WITNESS					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiffs, v. NAUTILUS INSURANCE GROUP, a Delaware limited liability company, et al., Defendant. NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY, Cross-Claimant, v. ROBERT "SONNY" WOOD; ACCESS MEDICAL, LLC; FLOURNOY MANAGEMENT, LLC AND ROES 1-10,	FOR EXTENSION OF EXPERT WITNESS					

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to ECF No. 240, the Court extended the discovery cutoff date to February 16, 2021. In ECF No. 257, the Court entered an order extending the expert disclosure deadline to January 8, 2021 and the rebuttal expert deadline to February 8, 2021. On January 8, 2021, Robert "Sonny" Wood and Access Medical, LLC ("Plaintiffs"), along with Nautilus Insurance Company ("Nautilus"; collectively, Plaintiffs and Nautilus are the "Parties") exchanged expert disclosures and expert reports. On February 10, 2021, Nautilus filed an "Emergency Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Expert Witness Report; Request for Sanctions" (the "Motion to Strike"). ECF No. 259. On the same day, the Court issued an order stating: (1) that the Motion to Strike would be subject to the normal briefing schedule; and (2) that the deadline for expert witness discovery was continued until six (6) calendar days after the court issued an order on the Motion to Strike. ECF No. 260.

On August 23, 2021, the Court issued an order denying the Motion to Strike (the "Motion to Strike Order"). ECF No. 295. Based on the timeframe set forth in ECF No. 260, the deadline for expert witness discovery is currently Sunday, August 29, 2021. In the time since the Court issued the Motion to Strike Order, the Parties have diligently conferred regarding the scheduling of Nautilus's deposition of Plaintiffs' expert witness, Stephen L. Strzelec. However, due to the short timeframe between the issuance of the Motion to Strike Order and the current expert witness discovery deadline, the Parties have been unable to select a mutually agreeable date/time to depose Mr. Strzelec before the expiration of the expert witness discovery deadline.

During the meet and confer process, counsel for Plaintiffs was reluctant to continue discovery again based upon the lengthy history of the case and number of prior extensions. Further, Plaintiffs' counsel believed the remaining time (6 days) for discovery was insufficient to allow for proper "reasonable" notice under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and, therefore, believed that Nautilus was not entitled to depose Mr. Strzelec. Despite these reservations, and in the interest of judicial economy, Plaintiffs have reluctantly agreed to this stipulation. Conversely, Nautilus believed that it was entitled to depose Mr. Strzelec and was prepared to depose Mr. Strzelec or take his deposition non-appearance prior to the expiration of the current expert witness discovery deadline. If Nautilus would have been forced to take Mr. Strzelec's non-appearance, Nautilus was prepared to move forward with a motion to compel his deposition testimony. In the interest of 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

judicial	economy	though	and to	progress	this	case	forward,	Nautilus	agreed	to	this	stipulation	as
well.													

To provide the Parties enough time to conduct the deposition of Mr. Strzelec, Plaintiffs' disclosed expert witness, and to provide the Parties enough time to analyze the testimony, the Parties hereby stipulate to continue the discovery deadline solely to depose Mr. Strzelec to October 4, 2021. No other deadlines will be affected by this continuance.

In compliance with Local Rule 26-3:

- The Parties have completed all written discovery and Discovery completed: Plaintiffs have completed their expert discovery.
- Discovery that remains to be completed: The deposition of Mr. Strzelec, Plaintiffs' disclosed expert witness.
- Reasons why deadline was not satisfied: Nautilus was awaiting a ruling from the District Court Judge on the Motion to Strike. To prevent Nautilus from potentially incurring unnecessary deposition costs, Mr. Strzelec's deposition was not taken while the Motion to Strike was pending. After the Court issued the Motion to Strike Order, the Parties communicated in an attempt to schedule Mr. Strzelec's deposition before the current expert witness discovery deadline passed. Due to scheduling conflicts though, the Parties were not able to agree on a mutually convenient date.
- Proposed schedule: The deposition of Mr. Strzelec, Plaintiffs' disclosed expert witness, will be completed as soon as schedule permits, but by no later than October 4, 2021.

Case 2:17-cv-02393-MMD-DJA Document 298 Filed 08/31/21 Page 4 of 5

	1	The Parties are committed to completing expert witness discovery by the date reques herein.									
ATTORNEYS AT LAW	3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.									
	4	DATED: August 26, 2021	SELMAN BREITMAN LLP								
	5										
	6		By: /s/ Casey J. Quinn								
	7		CASEY J. QUINN NEVADA BAR NO. 11248								
	8		LINDA WENDELL HSU (PRO HAC VICE) CALIFORNIA BAR NO. 162971								
	9		SAMUEL E. LIPSITZ (PRO HAC VICE) CALIFORNIA BAR NO. 331407								
	10		33 New Montgomery, Sixth Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-4537								
	11		Phone: 415.979.2024 Facsimile: 415.979.2099 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Claimant								
	12		NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY								
	13	DATED: August 26, 2021	THE SCHNITZER LAW FIRM								
	14										
	15		By: /s/ Jordan P. Schnitzer JORDAN P. SCHNITZER								
	16		NEVADA BAR NO. 10744 9205 W. Russell Road, Suite 240								
	17		Las Vegas, NV 89148 Phone: (702) 960-4050								
	18		Facsimile: (702) 960-4092 Attorneys for Defendants ACCESS MEDICAL,								
	19		LLC and ROBERT CLARK WOOD, II								
	20	IT IS SO ORDERED:									
	21 22	5 August 21 2021	Magistrate Judge Daniel J. Albregts								
	23	Dated: August 31, 2021									
	24		•								
	25										
	26										
	27										
	28										
			4								

Selman Breitman LLP

Bonnie Juarez

From: Jordan Schnitzer < Jordan@TheSchnitzerLawFirm.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 4:18 PM

To: Sam E. Lipsitz

Cc: Linda Wendell Hsu; Bonnie Juarez; Melisa Gabhart

Subject: RE: 2021.08.26 SAO for Extension of Expert Discovery Deadline

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

You may add my e-signature.

Based upon your representation, I will find out when Mr. Strzelec and I both have half a day available, either a morning or afternoon.

Jordan P. Schnitzer, Esq.





TheSchnitzerLawFirm.com Tel 702.960.4050 | Fax 702.960.4092 Email <u>Jordan@TheSchnitzerLawFirm.com</u> 9205 West Russell Road | Suite 240 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

This electronic message and any attachments come from a law firm and may contain information that is or may be legally privileged, confidential, proprietary in nature, or otherwise protected by law from disclosure. The message and attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact me so that any mistake in transmission can be corrected and then delete the message and any attachments from your system. Thank you.

From: Sam E. Lipsitz <slipsitz@selmanlaw.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 4:15 PM

To: Jordan Schnitzer < Jordan@TheSchnitzerLawFirm.com>

Cc: Linda Wendell Hsu <LHsu@selmanlaw.com>; Bonnie Juarez <bjuarez@selmanlaw.com>; Melisa Gabhart

<Melisa@TheSchnitzerLawFirm.com>

Subject: RE: 2021.08.26 SAO for Extension of Expert Discovery Deadline

Jordan,

Please see attached with my final proposed redline revisions. If you agree, we'll get it on file.

We anticipate that we'll likely need somewhere between 2-4 hours to conduct Mr. Strzelec's deposition. We'll wait to hear from you regarding potential dates/times.

Sam E. Lipsitz

Associate