

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3
4 Barren Mar Thuna,

5 Plaintiff

6 v.

7 Philip J. Kohn, et al.,

8 Defendants

2:17-cv-02395-JAD-VCF

Order Re: Objection

[ECF No. 13]

9
10 Pro se plaintiff Barren Mar Thuna sued the Clark County Public Defender, multiple
11 deputy public defenders, and a justice court judge for allegedly violating his First, Fifth, Sixth,
12 and Eighth Amendment rights.¹ On October 23, 2017, Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach
13 screened Thuna's complaint, and he recommended that the court dismiss counts 1–3 against the
14 justice court judge only and count 4 against all defendants.² The court received no objections,
15 and on November 15, 2017, adopted that recommendation and dismissed those claims.³

16 Thuna has filed an “objection” to that dismissal order, explaining that he did file an
17 objection but it must have been a casualty of “questionable” mail delivery at his detention
18 facility.⁴ He asks for the opportunity to file objections.

19 I construe his “objection” [ECF No. 13] as a motion for reconsideration, and—good cause
20 appearing—I grant that motion. Thuna has until December 29, 2017, to file a renewed motion
21 for reconsideration that includes all the points and authorities that he offered in his never-
22 received objection. The court will then reconsider the magistrate judge's report and

23
24 _____
25 ¹ ECF No. 1-1.

26 ² ECF No. 7.

27 ³ ECF No. 12.

28 ⁴ ECF No. 13.

1 recommendation de novo in light of Thuna's arguments.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the "objection," liberally construed as a
3 motion for reconsideration, **[ECF No. 13] is GRANTED**. Thuna has until December 29, 2017,
4 to file a renewed motion for reconsideration that includes the arguments raised in his original,
5 never-received objection.

6 DATED: December 4, 2017.



8 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28