1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

v.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Willie Banks, Plaintiff

Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of Social Security Administration,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02554-JAD-NJK

Order Adopting Report & Recommendation

[ECF Nos. 20, 24, 26]

9 Plaintiff Willie Banks brought this action for the court to review the Commissioner of 10 Social Security's denial of his application for disability-insurance benefits under Title II of the 11 Social Security Act. Having considered Banks's single-sentence motion to remand<sup>1</sup> and the 12 Commissioner's cross-motion to affirm,<sup>2</sup> the magistrate judge recommends that I deny the 13 motion to remand and grant the Commissioner's cross-motion.<sup>3</sup> She reasons that Banks "fails to 14 articulate any legal analysis or point to any errors in the ALJ's determination warranting a 15 remand."<sup>4</sup> Indeed, the entirety of his motion states "I Willie Banks would like to file motion to 16 remand [this case] new document from the doctor for disability benefits [sic]."<sup>5</sup> 17 Banks filed a timely objection to the report and recommendation.<sup>6</sup> It's the same length as 18 his motion to remand and it states only "Please remand the R.R I need help I send doc report I 19 20 21 <sup>1</sup> ECF No. 20. 22 <sup>2</sup> ECF No. 24. 23 24 <sup>3</sup> ECF No. 26. 25 <sup>4</sup> *Id*. at 9. 26 <sup>5</sup> ECF No. 20. 27 <sup>6</sup> ECF No. 27. 28 1

1	am in middow [sic] of getting a new evaluation so please don't close my case." <sup>7</sup> He includes
2	with his objection a copy of an August 2018 orthopedic evaluation, <sup>8</sup> but he offers no discussion
3	of its relevance or how it justifies rejection of the magistrate judge's recommendation.

Even if I liberally construe this filing as a request for a remand based on new evidence,
Banks's effort falls short. To obtain a remand under sentence six of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) based on
new evidence, a claimant must show "that there is new evidence [that] is material and that there
is good cause for the failure to incorporate [that] evidence into the record in a prior proceeding."
As Magistrate Judge Koppe accurately assessed in her report and recommendation, Banks did
not make that showing—and his objection gets him no closer to it.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- The Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 26] is ADOPTED in full, and Banks's objection [ECF No. 27] is OVERRULED;
- Banks's Motion to Remand [ECF No. 20] is DENIED, and the Commissioner's Crossmotion to Affirm [ECF No. 24] is GRANTED; the underlying decision is AFFIRMED;
- The Clerk of Court is directed to ENTER JUDGMENT ACCORDINGLY and CLOSE THIS CASE.

Dated: February 14, 2019

U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey

23	
24	
25	
<ol> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> </ol>	$\overline{\right ^7 Id.}$
27	<sup>8</sup> ECF No. 27-1.
28	$\begin{bmatrix} E \cup \Gamma & INO. 2/-I. \end{bmatrix}$