

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

KENYA N. SCOTT,

Plaintiff,

v.

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:17-cv-02557-RFB-CWH

**ORDER**

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 7) of the Honorable Carl W. Hoffman, United States Magistrate Judge, entered December 1, 2017.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


by December 15, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 7) is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 5) is dismissed without prejudice.

The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff.

DATED: December 20, 2017.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
**United States District Judge**