

1 Albert P. Allan
 2 North Carolina Bar No. 18882
 3 Allan Law Firm, PLLC
 4 409 East Boulevard
 5 Charlotte, North Carolina 28203
 6 (704) 371-5605
 7 alallan@allanplitigation.com
 8 Attorney for Defendant Police Priority, Inc.

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 10 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

11 I-VIEW NOW, LLC

2:17-CV-2604-RFB-VCF

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

Defendant Police Priority's
Unopposed Motion for Extension
of Time To Answer or Otherwise
Respond
(First Request)

14 Police Priority, Inc. and
 15 Does 1 through 10,

16 Defendants.

17
 18
 19
 20 Defendant Police Priority, Inc. moves this Court for a thirty-day
 21 extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint filed
 22 by Plaintiff I-View Now, LLC in this action. This is Police Priority's first
 23 motion for an extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to the
 24 complaint. Currently, Police Priority's answer or responsive motion is
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 due by December 26, 2017. If granted, Police Priority's answer or
2 responsive motion would be due no later than January 25, 2018.

3
4 Counsel for Police Priority has not yet filed its verified petition,
5 but will do so as soon as it obtains certification of counsel's good
6 standing from the clerk of the Supreme Court of North Carolina. As
7 soon as counsel obtains the certification, counsel will comply with LR IA
8 11-2. Counsel expects compliance within thirty days of this motion.
9
10

11 Police Priority requests this extension because, due to the holiday
12 schedule and other matters, Police Priority's counsel has not had
13 adequate time to review the allegations in the complaint in sufficient
14 detail to prepare an answer or responsive motion. Although the
15 complaint was served on December 5, 2017, counsel for Police Priority
16 was not aware that the complaint had been served until December 14,
17 2017. Given counsel's prior commitments and the intervening holidays,
18 counsel has not had time to adequately prepare its response.
19
20
21
22

23 As this is a patent-infringement lawsuit, significant time will need
24 to be devoted to investigating not only the allegations in the complaint,
25 but also the patent at issue, the file history, and the Police Priority
26 product at issue.
27
28

1 Counsel for Police Priority has consulted with counsel for Plaintiff
2 I-View Now, and counsel for I-View Now does not object to Police
3 Priority's request for a thirty-day extension of time, to January 25,
4 2018.
5

6 This 23rd day of December, 2017.
7

8
9 /s/ Albert P. Allan

10 Albert P. Allan

11 Allan Law Firm, PLLC
12 409 East Boulevard
13 Charlotte, North Carolina 28203
14 (704) 371-5605
15 Fax: (704) 372-7411
16 alallan@allanplitigation.com
17 State Bar No. 18882

18 Attorney for Defendant Police Priority, Inc..
19

20
21 IT IS SO ORDERED:

22 

23
24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25 DATED: 1-2-2018
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Certificate of Service

I, Albert P. Allan, hereby certify that on December 23, 2017, the foregoing Defendant Police Priority’s Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time To Answer or Otherwise Respond was served upon counsel of record using the Court’s CM/ECF system, which will send notifications of the filing to the following:

Jura C. Zibas, Esq.
jura.zibas@wilsonelser.com

Martin B. Ready, Esq.
marty.ready@wilsonelser.com

David S. Kahn, Esq.
david.kahn@wilsonelser.com

Date: December 23, 2017

/s/ Albert P. Allan
Albert P. Allan