

1 AARON D. FORD
 Attorney General
 2 CHRISTOPHER M. GUY (Bar No. 15239)
 Deputy Attorney General
 3 State of Nevada
 Office of the Attorney General
 4 555 East Washington Avenue
 Suite 3900
 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 (702) 486-3326 (phone)
 6 (702) 486-3773 (fax)
 Email: cguy@ag.nv.gov

7
 Attorneys for Defendants James Dzurenda, Charles Daniels,
 8 Sheryl Foster, Jo Gentry, Tanya Hill, Gabriela Najera,
 Dwight Neven, Cynthia Ruiz, Kim Thomas, and Patrick Vejar

9
 LISA A. RASMUSSEN, Esq.
 10 Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates
 550 East Charleston Blvd.
 11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 (702) 222-0007 (phone)
 12 (702) 222-0001 (fax)
 Email: Lisa@yeldlaw.com

13
 Attorneys for Plaintiff

14
 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

17 ELIZABETH CARLEY,
 18 Plaintiff,
 19 v.
 20 JO GENTRY, et al.,
 21 Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-02670-MMD-VCF

JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER
 TO EXTEND THE JOINT PRETRIAL
 ORDER DEADLINE FROM JUNE 27,
 2022, TO AUGUST 29, 2022
 (Third Request)

22 Plaintiff Elizabeth Carley, by and through counsel, Lisa. A. Rasmussen, and
 23 Defendants, Sheryl Foster, Patrick Vejar, Jo Gentry, James Dzurenda, Charles Daniels,
 24 Gabriela Najera, Tanya Hill, Dwight Neven, Cynthia Ruiz, and Kim Thomas, by and
 25 through counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Nevada Attorney General, and Christopher M. Guy,
 26 Deputy Attorney General, of the State of Nevada, Office of the Attorney General, hereby
 27 submit a Joint Stipulation And Order To Extend The Joint Pretrial Order Deadline From
 28 June 27, 2022, to Monday, August 29, 2022.

1 I. INTRODUCTION

2 The Parties hereby move to extend the Joint Pretrial Order deadline from June 27,
3 2022, to August 29, 2022 (63 days). Good cause exists in this case because the Parties have
4 resumed settlement discussions and may be able to resolve this matter without further
5 litigation. Additionally, both counsel for Plaintiff and Defendants assumed litigation
6 responsibilities for this matter post summary judgment briefing. Plaintiff's counsel
7 appeared in this matter as recent as January 25, 2022, for the purpose of a settlement
8 conference.¹ ECF No. 163. The Parties agree that additional time is necessary to review
9 the discovery records produced in this matter to submit a Joint Pretrial Order.

10 II. LAW AND ARGUMENT

11 A. Law

12 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)² provides:

13 (1) In General. When an act may or must be done within a
14 specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time:

15 (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if
16 a request is made, before the original time or its extension
17 expires; or

18 (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party
19 failed to act because of excusable neglect.

20 FED. R. CIV. P. 6(b)(1).

21 The United States Supreme Court has recognized, "Rule 6(b) gives the
22 court extensive flexibility to modify the fixed time periods found throughout the rules,
23 whether the enlargement is sought before or after the actual termination of the allotted
24 time." Lujan v. Nat'l Wildlife Fed., 497 U.S. 871, 906 n. 7 (1990) (internal quotation marks
25 and citation omitted) (emphasis added); see also Perez-Denison v. Kaiser Found. Health
26 Plan of the Nw., 868 F. Supp. 2d 1065, 1079 (D. Or. 2012) (citing and quoting Lujan, 497

25 ¹ This settlement conference was more akin to a global settlement conference as two
26 of Plaintiff's cases were at issue: the other case being 2:17-cv-02346-MMD-CLB.

27 ² LR IA 6-1(a): "A motion or stipulation to extend time must state the reasons for the
28 extension requested and must inform the court of all previous extensions of the subject
deadline the court granted." Further, a "stipulation or motion seeking to extend the time
to file an opposition or reply to a motion, or to extend the time fixed for hearing a motion,
must state in its opening paragraph the filing date of the subject motion or the date of the
subject hearing." LR IA 6-1(c).

1 U.S. at 906). Further, this rule, like all the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, is to be
2 liberally construed to effectuate the general purpose of seeing that cases (and other
3 disputed issues) are decided on the merits. *Ahanchian v. Xenon Pictures, Inc.*, 624 F.3d
4 1253, 1258 (9th Cir. 2010). Regarding “Good cause,” it is a non-rigorous standard that has
5 been construed broadly across procedural and statutory contexts. *Id.* (citing several circuits
6 *Venegas–Hernandez v. Sonolux Records*, 370 F.3d 183, 187 (1st Cir.2004); *Thomas v.*
7 *Brennan*, 961 F.2d 612, 619 (7th Cir.1992); *Lolatchy v. Arthur Murray, Inc.*, 816 F.2d 951,
8 954 (4th Cir.1987)).

9 Consequently, requests for extensions of time made before the applicable deadline
10 has passed should “normally ... be granted in the absence of bad faith on the part of the
11 party seeking relief or prejudice to the adverse party.” *Ahanchian*, 624 F.3d at 1259
12 (quoting 4B Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R. Miller, *Federal Practice and Procedure* §
13 1165 (3d ed. 2004)).

14 B. Agreement

15 On June 23, 2022, the Parties meet and conferred about the status of the case. The
16 Parties renewed settlement discussions as Plaintiff’s circumstances have changed now that
17 she resides in transitional housing. The Parties agreed that Plaintiff’s new circumstance
18 should be considered for settlement purposes and present new opportunities for settlement
19 that were not considered at the March 24, 2022, settlement conference. The Parties do not
20 act in bad faith. They are evaluating the issues and settlement possibility in good faith.

21 Additionally, the Parties seek additional time to work together to draft the Joint
22 Pretrial Order. The Parties are working together to determine what records were produced
23 prior to counsels’ appearances in this matter. The additional time is necessary to the
24 drafting and agreement to a joint order. Thus, the Parties request an extension of the Joint
25 Pretrial Order deadline of June 27, 2022, to August 29, 2022.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 III. CONCLUSION

2 For the foregoing reasons, the Parties request an extension of the Joint Pretrial
3 Order deadline of June 27, 2022, to August 29, 2022.

<p>5 DATED this 24th day of June 2022</p> <p>6</p> <p>7 By: <u>/s/ Lisa A. Rasmussen</u> LISA A. RASMUSSEN, Esq. Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates 550 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff</p>	<p>5 DATED this 24th day of June 2022</p> <p>6</p> <p>7 By: <u>/s/ Christopher M. Guy</u> CHRISTOPHER M. GUY Deputy Attorney General Office of the Nevada Attorney General 555 E. Washington Avenue, #3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Defendants</p>
---	---

11

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 

14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

15 DATE: 6-27-2022