1 | J. Stephen Peek Nevada Bar No. 1758 2 HOLLAND & HART LLP 9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor 3 | Las Vegas, NV 89134 Phone: (702) 222-2544 4 | Fax: (702) 669-4650 speek@hollandhart.com 5 | raloosvelt@hollandhart.com 6 Brett L. Foster (pro hac vice admission) Tamara L. Kapaloski (pro hac vice admission) DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 111 S. Main Street Suite 2100 8 Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2176 Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373 foster.brett@dorsey.com kapaloski.tammy@dorsey.com 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff Snap Lock Industries, Inc. 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 14 SNAP LOCK INDUSTRIES, INC. a Utah | Case No: 2:17-cv-02742-RFB-PAL 15 corporation, 16 Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, JOINT REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE 17 SUPPLEMENTAL STATUS REPORT VS. AND TO EXTEND 18 DISCOVERY/DISPUTE RESOLUTION 19 SWISSTRAX CORPORATION., a California **HEARING** corporation, (First Request) 20 Defendant/Counter-Claimant 21 22 Defendant Swisstrax Corporation ("Swisstrax") and Plaintiff Snap Lock Industries, Inc. 23 ("Snap Lock") (collectively, "Parties"), through their undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully 24 submit this Joint Request For Leave to File Supplemental Status Report and to Extend 25 Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing, and state as follows: 26 1. On August 28, 2018, the Parties appeared at a status conference hearing regarding 27 outstanding discovery and the parties' request to modify the existing scheduling order. During the 28 status conference, the Parties made the court aware that they had a meet and confer scheduled for August 30, 2018, regarding disputes related to Swisstrax's responses to Snap Lock's first set of discovery requests.

- 2. At the conclusion of the status conference, the Court ordered the Parties to file a joint status report after the meet and confer outlining any discovery disputes the parties may have with specificity and providing sufficient information within the status report to allow the Judge to make rulings from the bench at a status conference hearing. The Court ordered the Parties to file the Joint Status Report by Tuesday, September 11, 2018 and scheduled a Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing to address the issues raised in the Joint Status Report (if necessary) for Thursday, September 13. (ECF No. 70).
- 3. On August 30, 2018, the Parties met and conferred regarding Swisstrax's responses to Snap Lock's discovery requests. Although the parties were able to work together to resolve a number of the outstanding issues, the Parties were unable to reach a mutually agreeable resolution with respect to several issues, which will necessitate the need for the Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing to resolve the disputes.
- 4. Although some of the outstanding discovery issues are presently known by the Parties, the precise scope and nature of all discovery disputes that the parties will need to bring to the Court's attention at the Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing will not be known by September 13 when the Hearing is currently scheduled.
- 5. At the August 30 meet and confer, Swisstrax represented that it would be producing a large volume of documents to Snap Lock on September 7, 2018, which will require Snap Lock's counsel additional time to review.
- 6. In addition, Snap Lock's responses to Swisstrax's first set of discovery requests was due on, and was served on, Friday, September 7, 2018. Although Snap Lock is working diligently to gather all responsive documents, Snap Lock will not be in a position to produce responsive documents until on or by September 18, 2018. Swisstrax will then need time to review and digest Snap Lock's discovery responses and document production, and then to meet and confer with Snap Lock regarding any discovery disputes, before it will be able to determine the scope and nature of any discovery disputes raised by Snap Lock's discovery responses and document

production. The current schedule does not allow sufficient time for Swisstrax to review Snap Locks' responses and document production sufficiently prior to the September 13 deadline for the hearing.

- 7. Thus, despite the parties' efforts, the scope and nature of all discovery disputes will not be known by the current date set for the Hearing, and the Parties believe it would be most efficient and would preserve the Parties' and the Court's resources to address all discovery disputes at once in a single omnibus Supplemental Joint Status Report and Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing rather than to approach the discovery disputes in a piecemeal fashion.
- 8. Therefore, the Parties respectfully request a continuance of the Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing by at least two weeks from the current September 13 date, to a date convenient to the Court's calendar. The Parties also respectfully request leave to file a Supplemental Joint Status Report two days prior to the Hearing that will be an omnibus status report addressing all discovery disputes.
- 9. The Parties have been working diligently and cooperatively on discovery and have been able to resolve a large number of the disputes between them. However, the Parties will need additional time to complete discovery and the proposed deadlines in the current motion to amend the scheduling order are not practical. The Parties believe, however, that because all discovery disputes between the parties will be before the Court in the Supplemental Joint Status Report and at the Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing, the Parties will be in a position to propose new deadlines that will be workable and can eliminate any further need to modify the Scheduling Order.
- 10. Good cause exists and the Parties are not seeking the extension for undue delay. Instead, this request is an effort by the Parties to promote judicial economy and address all discovery disputes at once in a single filing rather than through multiple filings spread across several weeks. Moreover, a single filing and hearing addressing all discovery dispute will allow the parties to move forward with depositions and expert reports in a more expeditious fashion.

//

1	Dated this 10 th day of September 2018	
2	DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
3	/s/ Steven A. Caloiaro John L. Krieger	/s/ Tamara L. Kapaloski Brett L. Foster
5	Steven A. Caloiaro Christian T. Spaulding	Tamara L. Kapaloski Dorsey & Whitney, LLP
6	8363 West Sunset Road, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89113	111 South Main Street, Suite 2100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2176
7	Email: jkriger@dickinson-wright.com	Telephone: 801-933-4082
8	Email: scaloiaro@dickinson-wright.com Email: scaloiaro@dickinson-wright.com	Email: <u>foster.brett@dorsey.com</u> Email: <u>kapaloski.tammy@dorsey.com</u>
9		
10	ORDER	
11	<u> </u>	
12		
13		
14		
15	2018, 11.00 a.m. in Courtioon 3B.	THIS SO ORDERED:
16		Hon. Peggy A. Leen
17		United States Magistrate Judge
18		DATED: September 11, 2018
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2425		
25		

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that on the 10th day of September, 2018, the foregoing **JOINT REQUEST** 3 FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL STATUS REPORT AND TO EXTEND DISCOVERY/DISPUTE RESOLUTION HEARING was served via e-mail upon the 4 5 following: 6 J. Stephen Peek 7 Nevada Bar No. 1758 **HOLLND & HART LLP** 8 9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor 9 Las Vegas, NV 89134 Phone: (702) 222-2544 10 Fax: (702) 669-4650 speek@hollandhart.com 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff Snap Lock Industries, Inc. 12 13 John L. Krieger Steven A. Caloiaro 14 Christian T. Spaulding DICKSON WRIGHT PLLC 15 8363 West Sunset Road, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89113-2210 16 jkrieger@dickinson-wright.com 17 scaloiaro@dickinsonwright.com cspaulding@dickinsonwright.com 18 Attorneys for Defendant Swisstrax Corporation 19 20 /s/ Tamara L. Kapaloski 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28