

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 RUDY RIVERA,  
11 Plaintiff(s),

12 v.

13 DANIEL BOGDEN, et al.,  
14 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02776-JCM-NJK

**ORDER**

[Docket No. 30]

15 Pending before the Court is a stipulation to extend certain deadlines. Docket No. 30.  
16 Although a close question, the Court finds sufficient cause for the particular extension sought with  
17 respect to experts, and will **GRANT** the stipulation. Nonetheless, the stipulation raises concern  
18 that discovery has not been conducted in a diligent manner generally speaking. Discovery has  
19 been open for nearly five months. See Docket No. 30 at 2 (Rule 26(f) conference held on March  
20 21, 2018); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d)(1). Despite acknowledging that “Plaintiff needs to  
21 propound written discovery and take numerous depositions,” the stipulation identifies no  
22 affirmative discovery of any kind sought by Plaintiff to date. See Docket No. 30 at 2. Discovery  
23 needs to get moving.

24 Accordingly, in light of the above, the stipulation is hereby **GRANTED** and deadlines are  
25 **SET** as follows:

- 26
- Amend pleadings/ add parties: Closed
  - Plaintiff’s initial experts: September 5, 2018
  - Defendant Core Civic’s initial experts: October 22, 2018
- 28

- Interim status report: December 4, 2018
- Rebuttal experts: December 4, 2018
- Discovery cutoff: February 1, 2019
- Dispositive motions: March 5, 2019
- Joint proposed pretrial order: April 4, 2019, or 30 days after resolution of dispositive motions

**The Court expects discovery to move forward expeditiously and is not inclined to extend deadlines any further without significant progress being made.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 7, 2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge