

1 WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP
 2 Matthew S. Carter, Esq.
 3 Nevada Bar No. 9524
 4 R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq.
 5 Nevada Bar No. 9313
 6 7785 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 200
 7 Las Vegas, NV 89117
 8 (702) 475-7964; Fax: (702) 946-1345
 9 sehlrs@wrightlegal.net

10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff, Bank of America, N.A., Successor By Merger to Bac Home Loans*
 11 *Servicing, LP f/k/a Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, LP*

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 13 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

14 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR
 15 BY MERGER TO BAC HOME LOANS
 16 SERVICING, LP F/K/A COUNTRYWIDE
 17 HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP,

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02808-APG-CWH

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY
 CASE FOR 90 DAYS**

Plaintiff,

vs.

SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES, a Nevada
 limited liability company,

Defendant.

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER
 TO BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP F/K/A COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS
 SERVICING, LP (“BANA” or “Plaintiff”), and Defendant, SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES
 (“Saticoy Bay” or “Defendant”) (together referred to as “Parties”) by and through their
 respective undersigned counsels of record and hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

1. That this matter shall be stayed for 90 days pursuant to the agreement of the Parties as
 the Parties are discussing possible settlement and because the certified question in SFR
 Investment Pool 1, LLC v. Bank of New York Mellon (NRAP 5) Supreme Court Case


1 NO. 72931 (“Certified Question Case”) may be informative to this Court regarding both
2 parties claims to quiet title to property at 4955 South Jeffreys #705, Las Vegas, Nevada
3 89119, APN #162-26-512-095 (hereinafter the “Property”).

4 2. The Parties believe that significant judicial resources will be saved if the Court refrains
5 from issuing a decision in this until after Case No. 72931. Both Parties hereby stipulate
6 that neither side is agreeing to the legal effect of the Certified Question Case or that it
7 will necessarily be dispositive of the entire case but that it could certainly affect the
8 Parties positions once a decision has been made.

9 3. The Parties agree that the current discovery dates should be vacated and reset after the
10 90 days has passed. The parties shall submit an updated scheduling order with new
11 dates for disclosing experts and for the close of discovery once the 90 days has passed.

12 4. The Parties further agree that the Stipulation to Amend the Complaint, service of the
13 Amended Complaint, or any Answer, Responsive Pleading to the Amended Complaint,
14 or cross-claim and service thereof, shall not be subject to this Stay unless otherwise
15 agreed to by the Parties.
16
17

18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19 
20 _____
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22 Dated: July 27, 2018.
23
24
25
26
27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>DATED 23rd day of July, 2018.</p> <p>WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP</p> <p><i>/s/ R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq.</i></p> <hr/> <p>Matthew S. Carter, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9524 R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9313 7785 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89117 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter/Cross-Defendant, PROF-2016-S3 Legal Title Trust IV, by BANA National Association, as Legal title Trustee</i></p> | <p>DATED 23rd day of July, 2018.</p> <p>GEISENDORF & VILKIN, PLLC</p> <p><i>/s/ Charles L. Geisendorf, Esq.</i></p> <hr/> <p>Charles L. Geisendorf, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6985 2470 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 309 Henderson, Nevada 89074 <i>Attorney for Defendant Saticoy Bay LLC Series</i></p> |
|---|--|

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

DATED: _____