Brown v. Lombard	do et al		Doc. 4
1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
7			
8	MARLON LORENZO BROWN,		
9	Petitioner,	2:17-cv-02811-GMN-VCF	
10	vs.	ORDER	
11	JOE LOVE AND O		
12	JOE LOMBARDO, et al.,		
13	Respondents.		
14	Detitioner has not reappended to the Court's show source order (ECE No. 2). The order		
15	Petitioner has not responded to the Court's show-cause order (ECF No. 3). The order		
16 17	directed petitioner to show cause in writing why the petition should not be dismissed without prejudice for lack of exhaustion and also based upon <i>Younger</i> abstention. The order set forth		
18	the relevant procedural background, the governing law, and the application of the law to the		
19	record presented. The order informed petitioner that if he did not timely respond to the order,		
20	the petition would be dismissed without further advance notice.		,
21	IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that this action shall be DISMISSED without prejudice		خ
22	for lack of exhaustion and based upon <i>Younger</i> abstention.		
23	The Clerk of Court shall enter final judgment dismissing this action without prejudice.		; <u>.</u>
24	DATED: January 18, 2018		
25	_,		
26			
27	Meller		
28	Gloria M. Navarro Chief United States District Judge		