

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 COLLIS D. MANTIN,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 MACIES STORE, *et al.*,

8 Defendants.
9

Case No. 2:17-cv-02842-APG-NJK

**ORDER ON REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**

(ECF. No. 6)

10 On April 9, 2018, Magistrate Judge Koppe entered a report and recommendation that I
11 dismiss this case without prejudice because plaintiff Collis Mantin did not pay the filing fee or
12 file a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis by February 7, 2018, as ordered. ECF
13 No. 6. Mantin did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of
14 the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de
15 novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which
16 objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc)
17 (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*
18 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

19 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Koppes’s report and recommendation (**ECF**
20 **No. 6**) is **accepted**. Plaintiff Collis Mantin’s complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice. The
21 clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

22 DATED this 30th day of April, 2018.

23 
24 ANDREW P. GORDON
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26
27
28