1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ORDER

3 JEFF MINER, 4) Plaintiff, Case No.: 2:17-cv-02845-GMN-NJK 5 VS. 6 SANFORD GEWURZ, 7 Defendant. 8 9

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, (ECF No. 9), which recommends that this action be remanded to the Clark County Justice Court.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. Id. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. Accordingly,

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 9), is
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case shall be REMANDED to the Clark
4	County Justice Court.
5	
6	DATED this20 day of February, 2018.
7	
8	
9	Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge United States District Court
10	Officed States District Court
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
$_{24}$	

25