1 Joel E. Tasca, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14124 Lindsay C. Demaree, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11949 3 Brianna G. Smith, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11795 BALLARD SPAHR LLP 4 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 471-7000 6 Facsimile: (702) 471-7070 tasca@ballardspahr.com 7 demareel@ballardspahr.com smithbg@ballardspahr.com 8 John G. Kerkorian, Esq. (admitted pro hac vice) 9 Arizona Bar No. 012224 BALLARD SPAHR LLP 1 East Washington Street, Suite 2300 10 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: (602) 798-5408 11 Facsimile: (602) 798-5595 12 kerkorianj@ballardspahr.com Attorneys for Defendant ISM CONNECT, LLC, F/K/A DATA TRANSFER, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

NELSON MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

27

28

ISM CONNECT, LLC, F/K/A DATA TRANSFER, LLC,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

And related counterclaims.

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02905-GMN-GWF

JOINT STATUS REPORT PURSUANT TO ECF NO. 80

Pursuant the Court's order dated September 21, 2018 (ECF No. 80), Plaintiff Nelson Martinez, solely in his capacity as the representative for the former members of Ingenuity Sun Media, LLC, Counter-Defendant Nelson Martinez, in his individual capacity, and Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff ISM Connect, LLC F/K/A Data

DMWEST #17572836 v1

BALLARD SPAHR LLP 1980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 900 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135 14 (202) 421-2000 EVX (205) 4

Transfer, LLC submit the following status report regarding the parties' settlement discussions.

As reported to the Court previously (ECF 79), the attempted settlement framework for this case is complex because it relates not only to the litigation before this Court, but also matters pending before the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and the Superior Court of New Jersey, and involves parties that are not presently before this Court. Without the cooperation of those parties, a global settlement of the type contemplated is not possible. Thus, although the parties worked diligently to reach agreement, settlement is not possible at this time.

Although the parties have exchanged paper discovery, including the exchange of documents and written responses to interrogatories, some outstanding issues and non-party discovery and subpoenas remain outstanding, as well as at least ten depositions that must be conducted. The parties had previously scheduled those depositions to occur in August and early September, but instead have focused their efforts on settlement for the past two months. As a result, the parties request that the Court set new scheduling deadlines as set forth in the accompanying Stipulation and Order to Amend Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order.

28