

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Pamela Dittmar,

Plaintiff

v.

City of North Las Vegas,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02916-JAD-PAL

**Order Granting in Part and Denying in
Part Stipulation, Denying Motion to
Dismiss Without Prejudice, and Vacating
Hearing**

[ECF Nos. 8, 13]

Pamela Dittmar sues her former employer, the City of North Las Vegas, for discrimination, retaliation, tortious interference with an employment relationship, and negligent hiring, supervision, and retention.¹ The City moves to dismiss Dittmar's claims for intentional discrimination, tortious interference, and negligent hiring, supervision, and retention.² The dismissal motion is set to be heard on May 14, 2018.³

The parties now stipulate to stay briefing on the motion and vacate the hearing.⁴ They explain that Dittmar has requested—but not yet received—a right-to-sue letter from the Nevada Equal Rights Commission (NERC) for a second charge of discrimination that she filed with the NERC about the City. Dittmar intends to amend her complaint to include this second charge once she receives the right-to-sue letter from the NERC. The City consents to Dittmar amending her complaint to include the second charge. The parties also explain that Dittmar and her

¹ ECF No. 1.

² ECF No. 8.

³ ECF No. 9.

⁴ ECF No. 13.

1 counsel are determining how to proceed with her fifth and sixth claims in light of the City's
2 dismissal arguments.

3 My take away from this stipulation is that the parties have agreed that the landscape of
4 this case will change—new claims will be added for the second charge of discrimination and two
5 of Dittmar's current claims might be altered or abandoned. I appreciate that the parties have
6 agreed to these changes and jointly recommend procedures to accommodate them. To ensure a
7 clear record in this case, and because Dittmar's anticipated amendment might moot the City's
8 dismissal motion,

9 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' stipulation [ECF No. 13] is **GRANTED in**
10 **part and DENIED in part**: Dittmar must file her amended complaint within 30 days of
11 receiving her right-to-sue letter from the NERC; the City's dismissal motion [ECF No. 8] is
12 **DENIED without prejudice to the City's right to reurge it after Dittmar files her amended**
13 **complaint**; and the May 14, 2018, hearing on the City's dismissal motion is **VACATED**.

14 Dated: April 24, 2018

15 
U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey