1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Alex L. Fugazzi, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 9022) SNELL & WILMER LLP. 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: 702.784.5200 Facsimil: afugazzi@swlaw.com Douglas C. Rawles (<i>pro hac vice to be filed</i>) Raffi Kassabian (<i>pro hac vice to be filed</i>) Raffi Kassabian (<i>pro hac vice to be filed</i>) ReED SMITH LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel. (213) 457-8000 Fax. (213) 457-8000 Fax. (213) 457-8080 Email: drawles@reedsmith.com rkassabian@reedsmith.com <i>Attorneys for Defendant Las Vegas Resort Holdit</i> <i>LLC. db/a SLS Las Vegas alk/a SLS Hotel & Cas</i> <i>Las Vegas</i> UNITED STATES 1 DISTRICT C IAN INMAN, an individual; on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. LAS VEGAS RESORT HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company db/a SLS LAS VEGAS, Defendant.	DISTRICT COURT DE NEVADA Case No.: 2:17-cv-02950-JAD-NJK STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT (SECOND REQUEST)
--	---	--

	1	On November 28, 2017, Ian Inman ("Plaintiff") filed the instant case against Las Vegas		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	2	Resort Holdings, LLC. d/b/a SLS Las Vegas a/k/a SLS Hotel & Casino Las Vegas ("Defendant"),		
	3	alleging multiple causes of action premised on alleged violations of the Internet Tax Freedom Act		
	4	("ITFA") and the Clark County Transient Lodging Tax ("Transient Lodging Tax"). Relatedly,		
	5	counsel for Plaintiff filed the following nine additional lawsuits (the "Related Lawsuits") in this		
	6	District Court:		
	7	• Cabral et al. v. Caesars Entertainment Corporation et al., Case No.		
	8	2:17-cv-02841-APG-VCF (filed on November 10, 2017);		
	9	• Martinez et al. v. Las Vegas Sands Corp. et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-		
	10	02859-APG-NJK (filed on November 14, 2017);		
	11	• Schnitzer et al. v. Wynn Resorts, Ltd. et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-02868-		
	12	RFB-GWF (filed on November 15, 2017);		
12 13 13 14 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	13	• Phelps et al. v. MGM Resorts International et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-		
	14	02848-APG-CWH (filed on November 13, 2017);		
	15	• Chapman v. Penn National Gaming, Inc. et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-		
	16	02924-GMN-PAL (filed on November 21, 2017);		
	17	• Shapiro v. Treasure Island, LLC, Case No. 2:17-cv-02930-APG-CWH		
	18	(filed on November 22, 2017);		
	19	• Bowes et al. v. Nevada Property 1 LLC, Case No. 2:17-cv-02913-		
	20	GMN-VCF (filed on November 20, 2017);		
	21	• DiNino v. Four Seasons Hotels Inc., Case No. 2:17-cv-2961-JAD-GWF		
	22	(filed on November 29, 2017); and		
	23	• Robinson v. Westgate Resorts Inc., Case No. 2:18-cv-95 (before Judge		
	24	Dorsey) (filed on January 17, 2018).		
25		Each of the lawsuits filed by counsel for Plaintiffs, including the instant case (collectively,		
26	26	the "Resort Fee Lawsuits"), contains virtually identical allegations and requests for relief. Each		
27		case will also likely involve a similar determination of whether the adjudicating court has subject		
28	28	matter jurisdiction over the action. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42 allows for the		
		- 2 -		

Snell & Wilmer <u>LAW OFFICES</u> 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100

consolidation of multiple cases for the limited purpose of resolving common questions of law, including a determination of subject matter jurisdiction. See Fed. R. Civ. 42(a)(1); see also 3 Beddoe v. United States, 1993 WL 134827, at *1 (E.D. Cal. Feb. 2, 1993) (consolidating cases 4 under Rule 42(a) for the purpose of evaluating subject matter jurisdiction).

In an effort to avoid duplicative legal briefing and to efficiently address the common issue of subject matter jurisdiction, the parties to the Resort Fee Lawsuits have entered into a separate agreement (the "Agreement"), attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. The purpose of the Agreement is to consolidate the issue of subject matter jurisdiction and file a single motion on that issue in the first filed case before Judge Gordon, specifically: Cabral et al. v. Caesars Entertainment Corporation et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-02841-APG-VCF (the "Caesars Case"). In order to address the issue of subject matter jurisdiction in an efficient manner, the Agreement sets forth that the defendants to the Resort Fee Lawsuits shall coordinate to present a single motion to dismiss on the basis of subject matter jurisdiction (the "Subject Matter Jurisdiction Motion") that will be filed by the Caesars entities in the Caesars Case. Additionally, the parties in the Resort Fee Lawsuits that are presently before Judge Gordon also agreed to consolidate their respective cases for the purpose of allowing Judge Gordon to decide the issue of subject matter jurisdiction in one consolidated brief.

18 On February 22, 2018, Judge Gordon entered an order granting the stipulations in the 19 cases before him, staying all deadlines and consolidating the cases already assigned to him solely 20 for the purpose of ruling on the Subject Matter Jurisdiction Motion. [Dkt. # 21].

21 For judicial efficiency, the parties in all other cases, including the Plaintiff herein, met and 22 conferred and collectively agreed to stay their respective cases pending guidance on the Subject 23 Matter Jurisdiction Motion in the Caesars Case, recognizing that any order entered in the Caesars 24 Case is discretionary and not binding on this Court.

25 Pursuant to that Agreement, Plaintiff and the Defendant, by and through their undersigned 26 counsel, HEREBY STIPULATE that:

LAW OFFICES 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702,784,5200 Snell & Wilmer 14 15 16 17

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

27

28

- 1. Defendant's deadline to file a response to Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) shall be extended to 30 days from the date that the Court in the Caesars Case issues a final ruling on the Subject Matter Jurisdiction Motion;
- 2. 4 If the Court in the Caesars Case grants the Subject Matter Jurisdiction Motion, then Plaintiff shall move this Court for a voluntary dismissal without prejudice of the action or 6 file a stipulation further staying the proceeding pending appeal, should Plaintiff appeal.
 - 3. If the Court in the Caesars Case denies the Subject Matter Jurisdiction Motion, then the Defendant will not re-file the Subject Matter Jurisdiction Motion in this case.

These stipulations are made in agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant and are 10 warranted because they conserve judicial and party resources by allowing for an efficient determination of common issues of law that exist in multiple related lawsuits. Plaintiff reserves 12 all rights to seek coordination or consolidation with respect to issues other than subject matter jurisdiction at a later date. Pursuant to the Agreement, filing of the Subject Matter Jurisdiction Motion does not constitute a waiver of any defense or argument and shall not preclude Defendant from asserting any additional defenses or arguments at a later date, including,

LAW OFFICES ward Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702,784,5200 Snell & Wilmer 3883 Howard

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

	1	without limitation, any defenses or motions permitted by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b) or		
	2	a motion to compel arbitration.		
	3			
	4	Dated: March 1, 2018	Dated: March 2, 2018	
	5	WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.	
	6			
	7 8	<u>/s/ Don Springmeyer</u> Don Springmeyer (NV Bar. 1021) Bradley Schrager (NV Bar 10217) 3556 E. Russell Road, 2 nd Floor	<u>/s/ Alex L. Fugazzi</u> Alex L. Fugazzi (NV Bar No. 9022) 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100	
	9	3556 E. Russell Road, 2 nd Floor Las Vegas, NV 89120-2234	Las Vegas, NV 89169	
	10	Frank B. Ulmer (admitted <i>pro hac</i>) McCULLEY McCLUER PLLC	Douglas C. Rawles (<i>pro hac vice to be filed</i>) Raffi Kassabian (<i>pro hac vice to be filed</i>) REED SMITH LLP	
	11	1022 Carolina Blvd., Suite 300	355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90071	
100	12	Charleston, SC 29451	Attorneys for Defendant Las Vegas Resort	
X Wilmer LLP CHLP OFFICES COFFICES Nevada 89169 .784.5200	13	Joshua T. Ripley (admitted <i>pro hac</i>) BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.	Holdings, LLC. d/b/a SLS Las Vegas a/k/a SLS Hotel & Casino Las Vegas	
Snell & Wilmer LAW OFFLCES Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite Las Vegas, Nevada, 89169 702,784,5200	14	1622 Locust Street	noier a Casino Las regus	
Snell & V -LLP LAW OFF LAW OFF 1.44 Ugbes 1.48 Vegas, Nev 702.784,	15	Philadelphia, PA 19103		
Snel ^{Howard} Las V	16	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class		
3883	17			
	18	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	19			
	20			
	21	Dated: 3/7/2018	TATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
	22	4844-9243-3758	\smile	
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
		- 5	5 -	