1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10			
11	THOMAS W. MCNAMARA,	Case No.: 2:17-cv-02966-KJD-NJK	
12	Plaintiff(s),	Order	
13	v.		
14	CHARLES M. HALLINAN, et al.,		
15	Defendant(s).		
16	As the parties acknowledge, the local rul	les required them to file a discovery plan in this	
17	case by March 14, 2018. Docket No. 26 at 2. Nonetheless, the parties have filed a status report		
18	<sup>3</sup> informing the Court that they did not comply with the local rules and that Defendant instead intends		
19	to file a motion to stay discovery by April 30, 2018. <i>Id.</i> The Court begins by reminding the parties		
20	that stipulations impacting court proceedings require court approval. See Local Rule 7-1(b). The		
21	Court expects strict compliance with that local rule (and all other applicable rules) moving forward.		
22	In light of the situation, the Court hereby <b>ORDERS</b> that a motion to stay discovery be filed not		
23	3 later than April 24, 2018, that any response thereto by filed no later than April 26, 2018, and that		
24	4 any reply be filed no later than April 27, 2018. <sup>1</sup>		
25			
26			
27	<sup>1</sup> The Court reminds the parties that CM/ECF may automatically generate briefing		

<sup>27</sup> The Court reminds the parties that CM/ECF may automatically generate briefing deadlines based on the default rules, but that the deadlines set herein will govern regardless of any such automatically-generated deadlines. *See, e.g.*, Local Rule 3-1(d).

The parties shall also promptly convene the Rule 26(f) conference, and the Court hereby	
<b>ORDERS</b> that they file a proposed discovery plan by April 27, 2018 for the Court's consideration	
in the event it denies the motion to stay discovery.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: April 18, 2018	
Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge	
2	