1

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
11	THOMAS W. MCNAMARA,	Case No.: 2:17-cv-02966-GMN-NJK	
12	Plaintiff(s),		
13	v.	Order	
14	CHARLES M. HALLINAN, et al.,	[Docket No. 65]	
15	Defendant(s).		
16	On December 17, 2018, the Court granted in part and denied in part Plaintiff's motion to		
17	compel discovery. Docket No. 61. Now pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for an		

compel discovery. Docket No. 61. Now pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for an
award of expenses. Docket No. 65. Defendants filed a response in opposition, and Plaintiff filed
a reply. Docket Nos. 66, 71. The motion is properly decided without a hearing. See Local Rule
78-1.

A court has discretion to award reasonable expenses when it grants in part and denies in part a motion to compel discovery. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5)(C). In exercising that discretion, the Court may consider the exceptions outlined in Rule 37(a)(5)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See Wilson v. Greater Las Vegas Assoc. of Realtors, 2016 WL 4087272, at *1 (D. Nev. July 28, 2016). Under these exceptions, an award of expenses is not appropriate if (1) the movant filed the motion before conducting a meet-and-confer, (2) the losing party was substantially justified in the position taken, or (3) other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust. Id.

1	With respect to the second exception, a position is "substantially justified" if there was a genuine	
2	dispute on matters on which reasonable people could differ as to the outcome. Id.	
3	In the circumstances of this case, the Court finds Defendants to have been substantially	
4	justified in opposing the motion to compel. ¹	
5	Accordingly, the motion for an award of expenses is DENIED .	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: February 25, 2019	
8	Nancy J. Koppe	
9	United States Magistrate Judge	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	¹ The Court opines herein only as to Defendants' positions regarding the discovery that	
28	was compelled, and the Court expresses no opinion on the other issues for which the motion to	