Gonzalez v. Saul

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SONIA M. GONZALEZ,

Plaintiff

5 v.

2

3

4

7

6 ANDREW SAUL,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:17-cv-03118-APG-NJK

Order Accepting Report and Recommendation, Denying Motion to Remand, and Granting Motion to Affirm

[ECF Nos. 19, 21, 27]

On November 15, 2019, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I deny plaintiff
Sonia Gonzalez's motion to remand and grant defendant Andrew Saul's motion to affirm. ECF
No. 27. Gonzalez did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo
review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to
"make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to
which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)
(en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations
de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation
(ECF No. 27) is accepted, plaintiff Sonia Gonzalez's motion to remand (ECF No. 19) is
DENIED, and defendant Andrew Saul's motion to affirm (ECF No. 21) is GRANTED. The
clerk of court is instructed to enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

DATED this 4th day of December, 2019.

- 20 21
- 22 23

ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE