

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 FRANK M. PECK,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:18-cv-00237-APG-VCF


Order Affirming Magistrate Judge’s Order

[ECF Nos. 235, 238, 240]

8 Plaintiff Frank Peck filed an objection (ECF No. 238) to Magistrate Judge Ferenbach’s
9 order (ECF No. 235) denying Peck’s motion to compel. Peck also filed a request that his
10 objection be granted as unopposed. ECF No. 240. The defendants responded to Peck’s objection
11 (ECF No. 239), so I deny his request to grant his motion as unopposed.

12 I have reviewed the relevant papers. Magistrate Judge Ferenbach’s order is not clearly
13 erroneous or contrary to law. LR IB 3-1(a). Accordingly, Magistrate Judge Ferenbach’s order
14 **(ECF No. 235) is AFFIRMED**, Mr. Peck’s objection **(ECF No. 238) is DENIED**, and Mr.
15 Peck’s motion to grant his motion as unopposed **(ECF No. 240) is DENIED**.

16 DATED this 21st day of August, 2023.

17
18 
19 _____
20 ANDREW P. GORDON
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22
23