

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 FRANK PECK,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

6 STATE OF NEVADA ex rel NEVADA
7 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,

8 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:18-cv-00237-APG-VCF

**ORDER AFFIRMING MAGISTRATE
JUDGE'S ORDERS**

[ECF Nos. 61, 63, 66]

9 Magistrate Judge Ferenbach entered two Orders denying plaintiff Frank Peck's motion
10 under the Americans with Disabilities Act. ECF Nos. 61, 63. Mr. Peck objected to the orders.
11 ECF No. 66. The defendants responded to Mr. Peck's objection. ECF No. 71.¹

12 I have reviewed Judge Ferenbach's Orders and the related papers. I find that Judge
13 Ferenbach's Orders are not "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Local Rule IB 3-1(a).
14 Therefore, Magistrate Judge Ferenbach's Orders (**ECF Nos. 61, 63**) are **affirmed** in their entirety,
15 and Mr. Peck's Objection (**ECF No. 66**) is **overruled**.

16 DATED this 22nd day of May, 2019.

17 

18 ANDREW P. GORDON
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20
21
22
23 ¹ Mr. Peck also filed a reply in support of his objection. ECF No. 80. Such replies are allowed only with leave of court. *See* Local Rule IB 3-1(a). I did not grant Mr. Peck leave to file a reply. Nevertheless, I have reviewed Mr. Peck's reply and it does not change my decision.