Franklin v. Howell et al Doc. 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 Jeffrey Lynn Franklin, Case No.: 2:18-cv-00444-JAD-NJK 4 5 Petitioner **Order to Show Cause** 6 [ECF Nos. 2, 4, 9] v. 7 Jerry Howell, et al., 8 Respondents 9 Pro se plaintiff Jeffrey Lynn Franklin petitions for a writ of habeas corpus¹ and applies to proceed in forma pauperis.² This is Franklin's second pauper application (because I denied the first as incomplete). Having reviewed Franklin's financial documents, I find that he is unable to

I have also reviewed his petition under Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, and it appears that this is a successive petition. Franklin challenged his conviction once before in Franklin v. Nevada, Case No. 3:10-cv-00020-16 HDM-VPD. The court denied that petition on its merits,³ and the Ninth Circuit denied Franklin a certificate of appealability.⁴ This petition, which challenges the same conviction, is therefore a second or successive petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b).

Franklin must obtain authorization from the Ninth Circuit before this court can consider his petition.⁵ Nothing in the documents that Franklin has filed indicates that he has received that authorization. Franklin will need to show cause why I should not dismiss this action as an unauthorized, successive petition.

23

24

28

22

12

13

15

18

19

20

¹ ECF No. 1-1.

² ECF No. 9. 25

³ See case no. 3:10-cv-00020-HDM-VPD at ECF No. 25. 26

pay the filing fee, and I grant him pauper status.

⁴ *Id.* at ECF No. 30. 27

⁵ 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Franklin's application to proceed *in forma* pauperis [ECF No. 9] is GRANTED. Franklin need not pay the \$5.00 filing fee.

The Clerk of Court is directed to DETACH and FILE the petition for a writ of habeas corpus [ECF No. 1-1].

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Franklin has until July 23, 2018, to show cause why this action should not be dismissed as an unauthorized second or successive petition. If Franklin does not comply with this court-ordered deadline, this action will be DISMISSED without further prior notice.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Franklin's motions for appointment of counsel [ECF 10 Nos. 2, 4] are DENIED without prejudice to his ability to refile them if he can show cause why this petition shouldn't be dismissed.

Dated: June 22, 2018

U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey