both the statute of limitations and by the lack of jurisdiction over Defendants, see Nev. Rev. Stat.

11.190(3)(d); see also Nev. Rev. Stat. 11.220. The Court did not allow Plaintiff to amend his

Complaint since amendment would be futile. See Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1130 (9th Cir.

2000). Because the deficiencies in Plaintiff's complaint cannot be argued on the merits or cured

through amendment of Plaintiff's Complaint, the Court finds that the pending appeal is frivolous.

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status is REVOKED for purposes of his pending appeal as the appeal is frivolous. **DATED:** February 19, 2019. RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE