

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DARYL E. GHOLSON,
Plaintiff(s),
v.
NAPHCARE INC.,
Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:18-cv-00573-RFB-NJK


Order

[Docket No. 20]

Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and has filed a letter from his doctor. Docket No. 20. The Court construes this filing as a discovery disclosure. Plaintiff is instructed that discovery and discovery related-documents must be served on Defendant’s counsel, not be filed on the docket. See Local Rule 26-8; see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d)(1). Although the Court declines to strike the initial disclosures in this instance, it may strike future discovery that is filed on the docket improperly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 26, 2019



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge