

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MARTHA SALDIVAR,
Plaintiff(s),
v.
ALBERTSON’S LLC, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:18-cv-00672-JCM-NJK
ORDER
(Docket No. 16)

Pending before the Court is the parties’ stipulation to extend discovery deadlines, filed on August 14, 2018. Docket No. 16. The parties submit that an extension is warranted because they must evaluate treatment records from seventeen healthcare providers and have had conflicts in scheduling depositions. Id. at 2.

Parties are required to diligently conduct discovery, unless a stay has been granted. The Court granted the parties’ joint proposed discovery plan and scheduling order on July 17, 2018. Docket No. 12. To date, however, the parties submit that the only discovery completed is the exchange of initial disclosures and the scheduling of one deposition. Docket No. 16 at 2. The Court therefore finds that the parties have failed to show diligence in conducting discovery.

//
//
//

1 Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the parties’ stipulation to extend discovery deadlines
2 without prejudice.¹ Docket No. 16.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: August 20, 2018

5
6 
7 _____
8 NANCY J. KOPPE
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 ¹ The parties request, inter alia, an extension of the deadlines to amend pleadings from July
26 12, 2018, to September 10, 2018, and the initial expert disclosure/interim status report from August
27 13, 2018, to October 12, 2018. Docket No. 16 at 2. Local Rule IA 6-1 requires that a request for
28 extension made after the expiration of a deadline must be supported by excusable neglect. The
parties have failed to address, let alone show, excusable neglect for the extension of the expired
deadlines. Any renewed request for extensions shall address excusable neglect for any expired
deadlines that the parties ask to revive.